In the Land and Environment Court of New South Wales Case No: 2022/279591

> GOLDCORAL PTY LIMITED Applicant

> > V

# RICHMOND VALLEY COUNCIL First Respondent

## JOINT REPORT ON FLOODING, ESSENTIAL SERVICES, STORMWATER, GROUNDWATER & EARTHWORKS

# PREPARED 19 APRIL 2024

## INTRODUCTION

- 1. The following experts (the **experts**) have prepared this joint report:
  - a. Dr. Daniel Martens for Applicant (DM).
  - b. Lachlan Prizeman for the Applicant (LP).
  - c. Brian Eggins for Respondent (BE).
- 2. Curriculum Vita for each expert are provided in Attachment A.
- 3. The experts have read and agree to be bound to Part 31 of Division 2 of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005, Schedule 7 of the Uniform Civil Procedures Rules 2005.
- 4. The experts understand that the discussion is in respect of the proposed Iron Gates Residential Development of land at 240 Iron Gates Road, Evans Head (the **Site**).
- 5. This joint report covers contentions 3, 5, 7, 8 and 14 of the amended Statement of Facts and Contentions in the Proceedings dated 6 March 2024.
- 6. The experts also considered the following:
  - a. 24GCT0055\_LT01B TTM Traffic Assessment (Attachment B).
  - b. Amended Concept Engineering Plans Revision 03 prepared by LP (Attachment C).
  - c. Updated Groundwater Impact Plot prepared by DM (Attachment D).
  - d. Richmond Valley Flood Study dated 12 September 2023 prepared by BMT.

## **COMMENTS ON CONTENTIONS**

## Contention 3 – Flooding (DM, BE)

The proposed development is considered unacceptable pursuant to the provisions of s4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (**EP&A Act**) as the proposal has failed to adequately demonstrate consistency with the matters required to be satisfied in relation to flooding under clause 5.21 of the Richmond Valley Local Environmental Plan 2012 (**RVLEP 2012**)

#### Particulars

(a) It has not been demonstrated that the proposed development is compatible with the flood hazard of the land including if the floor levels and filling of the proposed lots are in accordance with Council policies, including a climate change allowance.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the proposed development is compatible with the flood hazard of the land and Council policies because the amended concept engineering plans now appropriately provide road and building floor levels which are consistent with the Richmond Valley Flood Study, inclusive of climate change allowances.

Specifically, all new roads have been designed to a minimum level of RL3.5m AHD and the finished floor level of all dwellings will be a minimum of RL4.0m AHD which is equivalent to the flood planning level (**FPL**).

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(b) It has not been demonstrated that there will not be a significant adverse effect on flood behaviour resulting in detrimental increases in the potential flood affectation of other development or properties.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the development proposal shown on the amended concept engineering plans is located within a region of low velocity flood water and that the proposed filling is located offline from the main river channel flows. The resultant outcome of the development produces no impact to the existing flood regime within the vicinity of the site.

This contention is resolved.

Disagreements

N/A

(c) It has not been demonstrated that the proposal incorporates appropriate measures to manage risk to life from flood including evacuation of the site via Iron Gates Drive for a full range of floods.

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 2

## Agreements

An Emergency Shelter is proposed to be located on lot 108 within the development site. The Emergency Shelter has been detailed in the Flood Emergency Response Plan (FERP) prepared by Martens. The experts agree that the proposed Emergency Shelter incorporated into the development appropriately manages risk to life from flood in the event that residents are not able to evacuate. The Emergency Shelter is designed to have a minimum building floor level of RL7.60m AHD, above the nominated PMF level of RL7.56m AHD at the selected location, consistent the Richmond Valley Flood Study. It is appropriate that the consent should include a condition to update flood levels in the FERP, prior to issue of a subdivision works certificate, to reflect levels in Richmond Valley Flood Study.

**BE** notes the New South Wales State Emergency Service (SES) is the combat agency for floods and the proposal and triggers for the Flood Emergency Response Plan should be reviewed by the SES as part of the update. This can be appropriately conditioned.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

## N/A

(d) It has not been demonstrated that the proposal will not result in a significant adverse effect on the environment or cause avoidable erosion, siltation, destruction of riparian vegetation or a reduction in the stability of riverbanks or watercourses.

## Agreements

The experts agree that the development proposal shown on the amended concept engineering plans is located within a region of low velocity flood water and that the proposed filling is located offline from the main river channel flows. The resultant outcome of the development produces no impact to the existing flood regime within the vicinity of the site. The development will therefore not lead to erosion, siltation, destruction of riparian vegetation or a reduction in the stability of riverbanks or watercourses.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

#### N/A

(e) The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives for flood planning pursuant to Clause 6.5(1) of the RVELP 2012 as it does not adequately demonstrate that the proposal minimises the flood risk to life and property associated with the use of land, it does not allow development on land that is compatible with the land's flood hazard, taking into account projected changes as a result of climate change as this is unknown and does not avoid significant adverse impacts on flood behaviour and the environment as this has not been provided.

## Agreements

The experts agree that provision of the Emergency Shelter above the probable maximum flood, which can be utilised in the event that residents have not evacuated, will satisfactorily reduce flood risks to residents to an appropriate level.

The experts agree that the amended concept engineering plans propose site fill levels which comply with the Flood Planning Levels (FPLs) dictated in the Richmond Valley Flood Study and are compatible with the land's flood hazard. The amended site levels and engineering design as documented appropriately considers climate change and projected changes to flood levels and behaviour.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(f) The Martens "Flood Assessment and Flood Emergency Response Plan (FERP)" Issue 3 dated 22 November 2023 - Section 3.2 Scenarios - does not use a full scenario for its climate change assessment. The Martens' report selected a peak ocean tailwater level of 2.9m as the criteria for the climate change assessment. Council adopted a climate change scenario at its meeting of 15 June 2010 of a 900mm sea level rise plus a +10% increase in rainfall intensity for the 2010 flood study and all future flood modelling.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the development proposal as documented on the amended concept engineering plans now appropriately provide road and building floor levels consistent with the Richmond Valley Flood Study, inclusive of climate change allowances.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(g) The proposal has failed to incorporate the most up to date flood modelling available, post February March 2022 major flood event on the Northern Rivers, with public exhibition of the Richmond Valley Flood Study 2023 from 3 July 2023 to 13 August 2023. and formally adopted by Council 19 September 2023, well prior to the Martens "Flood Assessment and Flood Emergency Response Plan (FERP)" Issue 2 DRAFT dated 4 October 2023. and the subsequent Issue 3 Final dated 22 November 2023.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the development proposal as documented on the amended concept engineering plans now appropriately provide road and building floor levels consistent with the Richmond Valley Flood Study, inclusive of climate change allowances.

This contention is resolved.

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 4

Disagreements

## Contention 5 – Essential Services (BE, LP)

The proposed development is considered unacceptable pursuant to the provisions of s4.15(1)(a)(i) of the EP&A Act as it has not been demonstrated that the proposed development will be provided with the essential services as required by Clause 6.2 of RVLEP 2012.

#### Particulars

(a) The proposal has not demonstrated that adequate arrangements have been made in relation to the supply of water and the disposal and management of sewage.

#### Agreements

The experts agree the proposed internal subdivision works are suitable to service the development allotments and are generally in accordance with the Northern Rivers Local Government Development Design and Construction Manual.

The experts agree that the condition and quality of sewer and water infrastructure in Iron Gates Drive for which the development proposes to connect is unknown, given the infrastructure has laid dormant for the past 30 years. It is also not clear based on available data the exact connection locations and details of the infrastructure in Iron Gates Drive to Council's network.

**BE** notes that the infrastructure has not been maintained by Council and was unlikely to have been accepted formally as a Council asset given the lack of quality assurance documentation (i.e. as-constructed data, testing and sampling results) and no evidence of final inspections.

The experts agree that the infrastructure in Iron Gates Drive may be suitable for connection and servicing of the proposed development, provided an Asset Condition Assessment Report is prepared (including pressure testing) and the connection points into Council's active sewer and water networks are re-examined. Subject to further investigation, modification/rectification of the infrastructure in Iron Gates Drive, Wattle Street, and Mangrove Street may be necessary to service the development. These are matters that can be appropriately conditioned.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(b) The existing services located on site were required to be removed under historic court orders and the operational condition of those services is unknown and therefore cannot be relied on.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the development proposal as currently documented considers the full removal of the existing services located on the site.

As noted under the agreements for Contention 5 a), the operational condition of infrastructure on Iron Gates Drive must be confirmed and accepted prior to any

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 6 development connection, but generally provide serviceability of the development subject to rectification/remediation works if required.

This contention is resolved.

# Disagreements

N/A

(c) The proposal has not detailed or outlined that adequate arrangements have been made in relation to the supply of electricity and does not detail the nature of the future supply and whether this electricity will be provided overhead or underground.

# Agreements

**LP** noted as outlined in the Engineering Services Report prepared by Arcadis and the included advice letter prepared by Preferred Energy, the proposed connection point for supply of electricity to the development is on Wattle Street, in the southern verge roughly halfway between Cedar Street and Cashmore Lane. The connection location is identified in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1 – Existing Essential Energy Network & Proposed Connection Point

Following the receipt of a Development Approval, Essential Energy would formalise the design requirements after receiving a Connection Application and Design Information Application. It is expected that the infrastructure to service the development would include underground cables along Iron Gates Drive, with an underground network throughout the development site road network to facilitate the connection of new lots to the Essential Energy network.

The experts agree that the provision of an underground network supply from Wattle Street is a suitable outcome.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(d) Insufficient information has been provided in relation to the stormwater drainage of the proposed development.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the amended concept engineering plans provide sufficient detail of stormwater drainage infrastructure, including collection, conveyance and treatment to the proposed discharge locations.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

#### N/A

(e) The proposal has not outlined that adequate arrangements have been made in relation to the suitable road access as inadequate and inconsistent information has been provided in relation to the design of the upgrade works to Iron Gates Drive.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the current supporting documents for the Development Application have resolved the inconsistent information relating to the upgrade of Iron Gates Drive. The existing Iron Gates Drive includes a 6.5m-7.3m sealed carriageway with minimum 1m shoulders. The upgrade works along Iron Gates Drive are proposed to formulate an 8m full width sealed carriageway with 0.5m shoulders outside of the mapped coastal wetlands (generally the central 50% of the road). The works consider a slow point between the increased width sealed carriageway and the existing sealed carriageway where no upgrade works are proposed.

**BE** notes that the road infrastructure (carriageway and footpath) has not been maintained by Council and was unlikely to have been accepted formally as a Council asset given the lack of quality assurance documentation (i.e. as-constructed data, testing and sampling results) and no evidence of final inspections.

The experts agree that outside of the Iron Gates Drive widening works, the existing sealed road surface should be resealed or rectified where required following an existing pavement assessment.

The upgrade works to Iron Gates Drive are considered appropriate given the competing constraints.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(f) There has been no assessment of impact or detail of work required to remove the infrastructure and works the subject of the Court orders and to then construct new infrastructure under the Amended Application.

#### Agreements

The experts agree the plans detail the extent of infrastructure to be removed within the site limits. A Demolition Management Plan or Construction Management Plan considering infrastructure removal should be prepared to capture the specific methodology of removal and disposal of the existing infrastructure prior to commencement of any works on the site.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(g) The proposal has not demonstrated that adequate arrangements have been made in relation to the adequacy/condition/reconstruction of existing infrastructure (water/sewer) external to the site that is believed to have been constructed wholly or in part along streets such as Iron Gates Drive. Wattle Street and Mangrove Street. Further. An assessment has not been undertaken in relation to the potential environmental impact and extent of vegetation removal required for the upgrading or rebuilding of the network sewer and connection of the site to the water and sewer networks external to the site.

#### Agreements

Reference should be made to the Agreements reached under Contention 5 a). The experts support the completion of an Asset Condition Assessment Report and assessment of the relevant infrastructure connections to Council's active sewer and water network to determine the use of the existing infrastructure and any associated remediation works.

The experts agree that any pipeline reconditioning or replacement would be undertaken within the existing pipeline alignment and that impacts on vegetation would therefore not be anticipated. Where vegetation has grown over the pipeline that is not capable of removal, that portion of the pipeline would, if required, be replaced using under boring or directional boring or with an alternative service alignment which does not cause impact to the vegetation.

This contention is resolved.

Disagreements

N/A

(h) It has not been demonstrated that there is capacity in the existing sewer network to receive additional flows from the site.

## Agreements

**LP** notes the Engineering Services Report prepared by Arcadis includes a Sewer Network Capacity Assessment Memo in Appendix D. The resultant assessment indicates that the development produces 7.7L/s of peak wet weather flows using the agreed calculation methodology. The Evans Head Sewerage Augmentation Strategy Report prepared by GHD (2010) considered a catchment flow of 9.4L/s of peak wet weather flows from the Iron Gates estate. The proposed pump station EHPS-02 (located on Teak St) underwent a pump upgrade in 2008 to allow an outflow of 20.8L/s, including planned flows of 9.4L/s from Iron Gates and 11.4L/s from the local catchment.

**BE** notes changes have occurred in the network since the base information informing the completed sewer assessment was prepared, including new development near the airport and other changes which may impact the potential discharge point of the development flows.

The experts agree that historical allowances were made in the sewer network planning for the connection of developments flows from the subject site and that augmentations may be required to be undertaken downstream of the EHPS-02 pump station to facilitate servicing of the development upon finalisation of the development yield & resultant outflows. This matter can be appropriately conditioned.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

# (i) The proposal has not demonstrated that the existing Iron Gates Drive Bridge meets the requirements of the current Australian Standards for construction and access.

#### Agreements

The Iron Gates Drive bridge was built by Council (as a contractor to the developer) at the time of previous development applications on the site. The experts agree the proposed development is consistent in the planned use of the site as the previous approvals at the time of the construction of the bridge by Council and that the existing bridge has been in use for many years and has not shown any signs of inadequate serviceability.

**BE** notes the bridge has not been maintained or inspection by Council since it was first built and that Iron Gates Drive was never adopted into Council's Asset Register.

The experts agree the consent should include a condition to investigate, prior toissue of a subdivision works certificate , to ensure the bridge is safe and trafficable, particularly for the demands imposed on the existing bridge by the significant heavy vehicle truck movements during the importation of the site filling, and that any rectification works are identified and completed appropriately (prior to the importation of fill) as part of the subdivision works.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(j) The proposal has not demonstrated how the proposed Iron Gates Drive works will meet all of the competing requirements of the proposed development (such as engineering road standards for generated traffic, services corridor allocations, wetlands, bushfire and has not identified the extent and nature of the impacts of those works.

## Agreements

The experts agree that the proposed works to be undertaken on Iron Gates Drive in accordance with the concept engineering plans include widening of the carriageway (between the coastal wetland mapping) and retention of the existing services on Iron Gates Drive (subject to the Asset Condition Assessment Report and associated infrastructure testing referred to in the responses to contentions 5a and 5 g). The works will not likely lead to any impacts because they will be located within the existing road corridor and will not disturb adjoining wetlands.

This convention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

## N/A

- (k) The proposal fails to consider and deliver appropriate road design as outlined in the Northern Rivers Local Government design specification (Development & Design and Construction manuals) to accommodate access. kerb and guttering, cycleways, underground services and telecommunications and road furniture.
- (i) Road 01 bisecting the Littoral Rainforest has an insufficient road reserve width. The proposal has inadequately assessed requirements for all required infrastructure including but not limited to stormwater drainage lines within the Road 01 road reserve bisecting the littoral rainforests.

#### Agreements

Road 01 bisects the Littoral Rainforest and considers a reduced road reserve width, with the use of an elevated boardwalk/cycleway to limit impact to the existing sensitive vegetation communities.

The experts agree that the proposed 8m carriageway will provide a suitable outcome given the short length of road. A handrail on the outside of the elevated boardwalk should be designed to the relevant Australian Standards to ensure safety concerns are addressed given the level difference of to the order of 1m from design surface level to natural surface level.

The experts agree that the proposed 'off-road' elevated boardwalk is a shared/dual use pathway for cyclists and pedestrians. In accordance with table D9.1 of the Northern Rivers Local Government Development Design and Construction Manual Cycleway and Pathway Design, the minimum path width should be increased to 2.5m.

This contention is resolved.

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 11

## Disagreements

N/A

# (ii) Road 02 and Road 09 are partly proposed as a single-lane one-way roads along the perimeter of the subdivision,

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the revised proposal inclusive of the single lane one-way roads provides an appropriate outcome for the site edges given the potential volume of traffic.

Sufficient movement throughout the estate has been provided for waste collection in the ultimate development outcome, however the Stage 1 works in isolation of the Stage 2 works must consider appropriate turnaround facilities at any temporary road ends to allow manoeuvring of waste collection vehicles.

**BE** notes that where a two-way road ends at a one-way road against the direction of travel, sufficient turn around area must be provided. It is acknowledged that a potential change from one-way to two-way is being considered and can be finalised prior to the issue of a subdivision works certificate.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

## (iii) Iron Gates Drive pavement formation width is insufficient.

#### Agreements

The proposed Iron Gates Drive works include a widening of the sealed carriageway to 8m. The extent of the upgrade proposed has been maximised between the coastal wetland mapping. The experts agree that the pavement formation is suitable given the competing constraints.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(iv) It has not been demonstrated that the unsignalised T-intersection where Iron Gates Drive meets Road 01 & Road 02 at the south eastern corner of the site meets the geometric design and safety requirements set out in Austroads Guide to Road Design. A Traffic Impact Assessment has not been undertaken in relation to the Amended Proposal.

## Agreements

A Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) has been undertaken by TTM Consulting. The TIA indicates that the traffic movements at the intersection of Iron Gates Drive, Road 01 & Road 02 call for the use of a Basic Right (BAR) turn facility. The experts agree suitable

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 12 road reserve is available to allow the construction of a BAR turn facility at the intersection of Iron Gates Drive, Road 01 and Road 02. This can be conditioned.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(I) The proposal includes reference to parking in the road verge via plastic reinforced turf. NSW road rule number 197 prohibits parking on footpaths and nature strips. The roads should be designed to AS2890.5:2020 to accommodate on-street parking.

## Agreements

The experts agree that any on-street parking should be constructed at the road level as asphalt surfaced parallel bays within the 4.5m verge widths proposed in the subdivision layout. The location of on-street parking can be determined as part of the subdivision works certificate application with consideration to stormwater infrastructure and potential driveway locations within the wider verges. A condition can be included to this effect.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(m) The proposal has not addressed the environmental impacts of the proposed removal of vegetation and existing historic infrastructure within the property and externally along streets such as Iron Gates Drive. Wattle Street and Mangrove Street where historic infrastructure has been constructed.

## Agreements

The experts agree that potential vegetation impacts is a matter for the ecological experts.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(n) The proposal fails to adequately consider pedestrian safety by advocating that the trafficable area of Iron Gates Drive "provides a possible minimum trafficable area of 8.5m-9.5m outside of the footpath on the southern side of the road and inclusive of the footpath a minimum trafficable area for overtaking or passing of vehicles at slow speeds of 10.5m-11.5m". Pedestrian pathways should not be considered part of the trafficable area for overtaking or passing of vehicles.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the upgrade works of Iron Gates Drive will include specific linemarking and signage treatments (including no parking lines and guide posts)

between the existing footpath and road carriageway to ensure delineation of the pedestrian and vehicle movements. This can be conditioned.

This contention is resolved.

Disagreements

## Contention 7 – Stormwater (DM, BE, LP)

The proposed development is considered unacceptable pursuant to the provisions of s4.15(1)(a)(i) of the EP&A Act as the proposal has failed to adequately demonstrate the proposed stormwater management arrangements for the site pursuant to Clause 6.2(d) of RVLEP 2012

#### Particulars

(a) It has not been demonstrated how the stormwater from the proposed development is to be treated and discharged and whether the proposal will discharge untreated stormwater into the Evans River.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the MUSIC model together with the amended concept engineering plans prepared demonstrate that all site stormwater will pass through appropriately designed stormwater treatment devices (bio-swales with incorporated gross pollutant traps) prior to discharge from the site. This will ensure that untreated stormwater discharge to the Evans River will not occur and that water quality is satisfactorily treated to the required standards prior to release.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(b) It has not been demonstrated that the proposal will protect and preserve native coastal vegetation as required by Clause 2(1)(g)

#### Agreements

By reference to the response to Contention 7a, the experts agree that stormwater from the proposal is not expected to impact native coastal vegetation.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(c) It has not been demonstrated that the proposal includes measures to conserve animals (within the meaning of the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995) and plants (within the meaning of that Act), and their habitats.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that this is a matter for the ecological experts.

Disagreements

(d) It has not been demonstrated how the stormwater from the proposed eastern swale south of Iron Gates Drive to the Evans River is to be managed for quantity, quality, velocity, and erosion protection and protection of the environment.

#### Agreements

**BE** notes that historical design plans for the swale south of Iron Gates Drive and within the property, connecting to the Evans River, was a concrete lined base channel.

The experts agree discharge of the eastern swale (Bio-retention Swale B) and the central Littoral Rainforest is to include outlet scour protection at the discharge to appropriately reduce localised erosion, as shown on the amended concept engineering plans.

The experts agree this channel does not appear to be concrete lined in accordance with the historical design and that the channel has now established ecological significance to be retained and protected in its current form.

The experts agree the outlets of stormwater infrastructure would be designed as part of the detailed design process prior to the subdivision works certificate approval. Outlets should be designed to ensure that discharge velocity does not result in undue downslope erosion. The nominated design velocity should be determined by an investigation of the existing soils and vegetation at the proposed discharge location(s). An appropriately worded consent condition should be included to reflect this agreement.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(e) It has not been demonstrated how the proposed bioswales will be effective having regard to their depth and reliance on evapotranspiration and what protection is proposed for the receiving waters and wetlands.

#### Agreements

The amended concept engineering plans now include semi-impermeable liners and underdrainage with appropriate outlets. The experts agree the proposed bio-swales will function more effectively with the inclusions documented.

The detailed design of the bio-swales should consider appropriate access for maintenance purposes. An appropriately worded consent condition should be included to reflect this agreement.

This contention is resolved.

Disagreements

(f) It has not been clearly demonstrated how drainage of the littoral rainforest will be managed following removal of the existing infrastructure and the long-term development of the site.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that an additional stormwater culvert crossing beneath Road 01 should be included at natural ground level to facilitate a secondary flow path for stormwater in the central littoral rainforest. An appropriately worded consent condition should be included to reflect this agreement.

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(g) It has not been demonstrated that the proposed stormwater drainage layout has been designed in accordance with the Northern Rivers Local Government design specification (Development & Design and Construction manuals).

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the stormwater pit and pipe network shown on the engineering concept plans can be amended as part of the subdivision works certificate application stage to conform to the standard drainage layout as described in the Northern Rivers Local Government Development Design and Construction Manual. The experts agree however that the layout shows the serviceability of the proposed development site to convey stormwater to the nominated discharge locations. An appropriately worded consent condition should be included to reflect this agreement.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

## Contention 8 – Groundwater (DM, BE)

The proposed development is considered unacceptable pursuant to the provisions of s4.15(1)(b) of the EP&A Act as the proposal has not demonstrated that the ground water in the locality will not be adversely affected by the proposed development.

#### Particulars

- (a) It has not been demonstrated that construction will not result in adverse impacts on groundwater of the site and the riparian and wetland areas in the vicinity of the site.
- (b) Water NSW has not issued general terms of approval for the proposed development as required under the Water Management Act 2000.
- (c) The statement of environmental effects indicates that further reporting is to be prepared regarding the issue of ground water. This material has not been submitted.
- (d) The proposal contains inadequate information to satisfy section 2.8 of the SEPP RAH, in that:
- *i)* It is unclear whether there will be a significant impact on the hydrological integrity of the adjacent coastal wetland and Littoral Rainforest; and
- *ii) the quality and quantity of surface and groundwater flows to the adjacent coastal wetland and Littoral Rainforest is unknown.*

#### Agreements

**BE** notes that he has no experience in relation to Contention 8 – Groundwater, or SEPP RAH, and that interpretation of such modelling / analysis is for others.

**DM** – The impacts on groundwater were assessed in detail in the Hydrogeological Impact Assessment (the **HIA**) prepared by Martens & Associates in November 2023. The reviewed HIA concluded that groundwater was not adversely affected by the development proposal.

The groundwater model documented in the HIA was re-run based on the amended concept engineering plans. Amendments included all proposed bio-retention swale areas, with liner properties as follows: swale A 50 mm/hr, swale B impermeable, swale C 15 mm/hr, swale D 17 mm/hr and swale E 17 mm/hr, and revised stormwater flow rates to the bio-retention swales derived from an amended MUSIC model. A groundwater drawdown impact plot for the amended concept engineering plans is provided in Attachment D which demonstrates minimal change to existing groundwater conditions. Clause 2.8 of SEPP R&H is satisfied because:

- The projected change in average groundwater levels is very small (around 0.1 m) compared to the groundwater level variations experienced naturally within the rainforest and wetland areas, which were in the order of 2 5 m during the groundwater monitoring period.
- Groundwater gradients to wetlands are not expected to be materially altered, and therefore flow regimes to, and hydrological integrity of, the adjacent coastal wetlands and Littoral rainforest will not be impacted. The quantity of

groundwater discharged to the adjacent coastal wetlands and Littoral rainforest will therefore not be impacted.

• All stormwater will be treated via the bio-retention swales before release to the environment. No impact on surface and groundwater flows to the adjacent coastal wetlands and Littoral rainforest is therefore expected.

Disagreements

## Contention 14 – Earthworks (BE, LP)

The proposal has failed to satisfy Clause 6.3 of the RVLEP 2012 in relation to earthworks.

#### Particulars

(a) The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives of Clause 6.3(1) as the development does not adequately demonstrate that the proposed earthworks will not have a detrimental impact on environmental functions and processes on the site or on neighbouring uses. In particular, there has been no consideration of the potential impacts arising from the proposed earthworks (filling and retaining walls) on the Littoral Rainforest in proposed Lot 141, on adjoining land to the east, the wetlands both on and adjoining the site or on the Evans River; and

#### Agreements

The experts agree that no works are proposed within the Littoral Rainforest and that all stormwater generated from the proposed earthworks will be suitably captured and treated by the proposed stormwater management system, including bio-retention swales. The stormwater system is not expected to impact on the Littoral rainforest or adjoining wetlands.

The experts agree that sediment and erosion control measures will need to be implemented during the subdivision works stage to ensure that construction works do not impact on the Littoral rainforest. An appropriately worded consent condition should be included to reflect this agreement.

We rely on the advice of DM that there will be no detrimental impacts on the receiving groundwater system (refer to response to Contention 8).

This contention is resolved.

#### Disagreements

N/A

(b) The proposal has not adequately demonstrated that the matters for consideration prior to the grant of consent pursuant to Clause 6.3(3) have been satisfied particularly the likely disruption of, or any detrimental effect on, existing drainage patterns and soil stability in the locality, the proximity to and potential for adverse impacts on any watercourse, drinking water catchment or environmentally sensitive area, and whether any appropriate measures proposed to avoid, minimise or mitigate the impacts of the development are proposed.

#### Agreements

The experts agree that the proposed earthworks will not likely disrupt, or have a detrimental effect on, the existing drainage system and soil stability in the local area, nor would they likely impact on any watercourse or environmentally sensitive areas. The land is not located in a drinking water catchment. Reference is made to the Agreements reached on the Groundwater, Stormwater and Flooding Contentions.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

N/A

(c) The proposal has not adequately demonstrated the satisfactory site filling levels to address the current Richmond Valley Flood Study that was publicly exhibited 4Y2 months prior to the date of the Arcadis "Engineering Services and Civil Infrastructure Report" Revision 02 (amended development layout) dated 14 November 2023, and Martens' "Flood assessment and Flood Emergency Response Plan (FERP)" Issue 03 (Amended Application - Final) dated 22 November 2023.

## Agreements

The experts agree that the amended concept engineering plans provide additional filling to achieve compliance with and appropriately consider the new Flood Planning Levels (FPLs) including climate change outlined in the 2023 Richmond Valley Flood Study.

Specifically, all new roads shall be designed to a minimum level of RL3.5m AHD and the finished floor level of all dwellings shall be designed to RL4.0m AHD.

This contention is resolved.

## Disagreements

#### N/A

(d) The proposal fails to address the negative impacts on the existing road infrastructure, and the amenity of the residents along the haul route. The Arcadis "Engineering Services and Civil Infrastructure Report" Revision 02 (amended development layout) dated 14 November 2023 s4.2 Earthworks Quantities states that 78,269 cubic metres of imported material will be required to raise the existing ground levels. The 78,269 cubic metres over a period of 12 weeks equates approximately 1 truck every 2 minutes for 12 weeks. (The stated quantities do not reflect the level required to meet the 2023 design flood levels including the full 2010 Council adopted climate change criteria.)

#### Agreements

**LP** notes the amended concept engineering plans to address the FPLs including climate change set out in the 2023 Richmond Valley Flood Study increase the volume of earthworks import to 127,093 cubic metres.

The experts agree that a Traffic Management Plan should be prepared prior to the import of any material to the site which considers the hours of haulage to limit disruption to existing residents in Evans Head. Particular consideration should be given to school holidays and annual holiday periods.

The experts agree the channelised right turn lane and linemarking intersection upgrade to Woodburn Street and Wattle Street should be completed prior to the import of any material to the site to improve the functionality of the intersection.

The experts agree a dilapidation report of the existing Council road network along the identified haul route should be undertaken prior to works commencing and that any damage identified will be rectified at the Developer's expense.

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 21 The experts agree that appropriately worded consent conditions should be included to reflect these agreements.

This contention is resolved.

Disagreements

N/A

- (e) The proposal is inconsistent in relation to the proposed level of roads and does not adequately take into account the future flood level projections due to the full criteria for assessing climate change not being included.
- i) The Arcadis "Engineering Services and Civil Infrastructure Report' Revision 02 (amended development layout) dated 14 November 2023 Section 4.1 Site Grading states All proposed roads will be designed above the 1% AEP (100 year ARI) regional flood level inclusive of climate change allowance (RL3.0m AHD).
- *ii)* The Martens "Flood Assessment and Flood Emergency Response Plan (FERP)" Issue 3 dated 22 November 2023 - Section 4.3 Flood Engineering Control Features dot point 2, states that the road will be constructed to RL 2.7 m AHD (300mm above the nonclimate change 1% level of RL 2.4 m AHD, thereby continuing to use the non-climate change design levels (determined from modelling calibrated to historic flood events) without using the climate change levels that project into the future for long term sustainability of the development. This represents a 300mm difference in filling levels and a potential increase in the imported fill and negative impacts on the community, traffic, and existing road infrastructure.

## Agreements

The experts agree that the amended concept engineering plans provide adequate allowance for climate change and future flood level projections.

Specifically, all new roads shall be designed to a minimum level of RL3.5m AHD and the finished floor level of all dwellings shall be designed to RL4.0m AHD.

This contention is resolved.

Disagreements

N/A

Signatures

Dr. Daniel Martens

Lachlan Prizeman

Brian Eggins

Joint Report on Flooding, Essential Services, Stormwater, Groundwater & Earthworks – Iron Gates Residential Development Page 22

# ATTACHMENT A – EXPERT CVS

# **Brian Eggins**

# **Position**

# Senior Development Engineer – Richmond Valley Council

## **Qualifications**

- Bachelor of Engineering (Civil) Darling Downs Institute of Advanced Education (Toowoomba) – now University of Southern Queensland
- Graduate Diploma Local Government Engineering University of Technology Sydney

## Experience

40+ years in Local Government



# LACHLAN PRIZEMAN



Lachlan is an experienced Engineer and Project Manager, responsible for the resourcing, planning and design delivery of significant projects in South East Queensland.



- CORE SKILLS
- 1. Authority Approvals
- 2. Infrastructure Agreements
- 3. Civil Engineering Design
- 4. Flood Plain Development
- Stormwater Quality & Quantity Assessment - Water Sensitive Urban Design
- 6. Design Delivery & Project Management
- 7. 1 & 2 Dimensional Hydraulic Flood Modelling
- Floodplain Development Maximising Land Use and Evacuation Procedures

#### QUALIFICATIONS

 Bachelor of Engineering (with Advanced Studies) – First Class Honours

#### MEMBERSHIPS

• Member, Institute of Engineers Australia

#### **SUMMARY**

Lachlan is currently a Senior Civil Engineer and Project Manager in the Urban Development and Regeneration team at Arcadis' Gold Coast office. He has thirteen years' experience in the civil engineering field and has a wide range of experience on projects from conception to asset handover, with a focus on Floodplain Development incorporating both Residential and Mixed-Use Development.

Lachlan has substantial and exclusive experience working on large scale, unique projects that sit outside the standard frame of design and assessment, where cookie-cutter approaches fail to achieve the required project outcomes. These include works on the Royal Pines Resort (under the Integrated Resorts Development Act), Star Casino and Destination Gold Coast (under the Jupiters Casino Act) and various projects of State Significance.

Lachlan's technical skills and management style have been engaged on numerous projects to create innovative solutions and exceed client expectations, underpinned by a strong team spirit and motivated team members.

#### **PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

#### Bloom Development – Coomera, QLD

Pointcorp Development Pty Ltd - Civil Engineer & Project Manager

The Bloom Development is the final stages of the Master Planned Big Sky Development, a \$650 million mixed use urban development project comprising 906 residential allotments, a multi-level residential apartment building and a Commercial Centre located within the suburb of Coomera on the Gold Coast.

As the project manager, Lachlan was responsible for the civil works design management and internal commercial management of the project from concept/development approval through to detailed design and construction. Internal design reviews and a fresh approach to residential land development led to significantly improved allotment usage and presentation throughout the development, increasing the potential project revenue. Working in close conjunction with the Client, Lachlan also negotiated an Infrastructure Agreement with Council for Trunk Infrastructure works worth up to \$10 million and three separate adjacent land owner agreements.

#### Star Casino and Hotel, The Darling Hotel – Broadbeach

Star Entertainment Group Pty Ltd – Civil & Hydraulic Engineer

Arcadis conducted the initial hydraulic assessment of the project site to assist in determining the land use options and planning the most effective development outcomes for the client. Over the following years, Arcadis produced relevant documentation to assist in the development approvals from all external stakeholders (including new bridge connection crossing the Gold Coast Light Rail and Little Tallebudgera Creek). Arcadis were engaged by Star Entertainment Group as the Principal's consultant, as well as by Probuild Construction Australia toward the end of the project to assist in closing out the bridge design and tie-in to the Gold Coast Highway.

#### Destination Gold Coast Development – Broadbeach Island

#### Destination Gold Coast Consortium Pty Ltd – Civil Engineer & Project Manager

Destination Gold Coast is a master planned development and regeneration of the Jupiter's Casino Island. The master plan will consist of five new mixed use towers on the Casino Island which fall under a special development act in Queensland. Arcadis assisted with preparing the development documents for the Master Plan, with designs including stormwater, wastewater, potable water and flood planning. This required extensive negotiations with the local authority (City of Gold Coast Council) around the water and sewer infrastructure. Lachlan assisted in negotiating a cost-effective solution for both the Client and the Council. Due to the scale and quality of the worked delivered, the Council requested Arcadis to undertake a regional wastewater catchment analysis that would benefit both Destination Gold Coast and the Council under an Infrastructure agreement. This wastewater catchment analysis will be presented to Council to include in the overall network master planning.

#### Australian Legend World – Carrara, QLD

#### Songcheng Australia Pty Ltd - Civil & Hydraulic Engineer

Australian Legend World is a proposed \$600 million theme park with residential aspects in Nerang. The site was heavily limited by numerus constraints from flooding, lack of infrastructure, environmental factors and land use planning. Lachlan was responsible for organising and planning the completion of the engineering works on a tight development application schedule. The works included developing a water and sewer servicing strategy for the local area, providing an effective water quality treatment solution melding into the environmental features and ensuring that the proposed development did not cause nuisance or actionable damage to nearby properties during times of flood. Arcadis have also been involved in the stakeholders negotiations and external infrastructure upgrade considerations.

#### Gold Coast Parklands, Commonwealth Games Village

#### Economic Development Queensland - Civil & Hydraulic Engineer

Arcadis' role on the Commonwealth Games Village included the Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis of existing large Stormwater infrastructure and upstream catchments, including the interaction with the adjacent Gold Coast University Hospital. Arcadis also provided Assistance in design of the sporting fields and wetland bio-detention area in combination with new stormwater infrastructure to mitigate downstream impacts and convey stormwater through the development site.

#### Royal Pines Resort Golf Course – Benowa, QLD

#### RACV Pty Ltd – Civil Engineer & Project Manager

Arcadis provided Civil Engineering services to the golf course architects in the form of bulk earthworks design, sediment and erosion control, hydraulic impact assessment (including on-going flood storage analysis), construction methodology and site inspections and development approvals. The course was redeveloped for the hosting of the PGA Australian Masters Golf Tournament.

#### City of Gold Coast Stormwater and Flooding Resilience

#### City of Gold Coast – Hydraulic Engineer

Arcadis were engaged by City of Gold Coast Council to assess the drainage infrastructure of 5 catchments through the region that were tidally influenced. The catchments were constructed in a 2-D regional flood model, including significant amount of 1-D pit and pipe networks, to be assessed during a myriad of local stormwater rainfall events. During the rainfall events, several different tidal boundary conditions were used, looking at climate change predictions and sea level rise, to determine the 'flood resilience' of the some of the Gold Coast's most exposed areas.

#### Royal Pines Marina Concourse – Benowa, QLD Sunland Pty Ltd – Civil Engineer & Project Manager

The Marina Concourse Development includes 6 storeys of high quality, waterfront apartments with ground level cafés, retail and leisure integrated into the marina space. Arcadis was commissioned to undertake the flooding assessment and Civil Works detailed design and construction services for the proposed development. With completion scheduled for mid-2018, Sunland's ultimate vision of development over the water was realised through Arcadis' flood infrastructure and modelling experience.

#### Somerset College – Merrimac, QLD

#### Eastview Australia Pty Ltd - Civil & Hydraulic Engineer

The sports field re-development of Somerset College involved the Hydraulic and Flood Storage modelling and impact assessments and operational works design for the general bulk earthworks and drainage channels. Arcadis created a 2D flood model of the area using survey information, digital elevation data (provided by Council) and the proposed bulk earthworks. The 2D model was then used to assess the impacts of the proposed earthworks during a range of flood events. Large upstream catchments were analysed and the existing drainage channels surrounding the site were redesigned to provide specific immunity to the new fields.



#### Position

**Managing Director Principal Engineer** 

#### Profession

**Civil Engineering Environmental Engineering Environmental Science** 

#### Experience

30+ years

#### **Education**

BSc Hons1 1989 (Usvd) (Geomorphology, hydrology, ecology) PhD 1996 (Usvd) (sewage management, stormwater, groundwater, urban hydrology)

MEngSc 1999 (UNSW) (civil, waste & contamination, geotechnics, hydrology, hydrogeology)

LLB Hons 1 2017 (MaqU) (environmental and planning law majors) GradDip Legal Prac 2019 (College of Law)

#### **Skills Summary**

- Flooding & hydrology
- Stormwater and drainage
- Water supply & wastewater
- Geotechnics & groundwater
- Civil & traffic
- Environmental systems
- Land contamination

#### Contact

Suite 201, 20 George Street, Hornsby, NSW, 2077, Australia Ph +61-2-9476 9999



# **Dr** Daniel Martens

LLB (Hons1), BSc (Hons1), MEngSc, PhD, GDLP, FIEAust, CPEng, NER, RPEQ Civil, Geotechnical and Environmental Engineer, Environmental Scientist

#### **Summary of Experience**

Dr Martens maintains 30+ years experience in a range of fields which cover both environmental science and engineering. His work has included provision of advice to Local and State Government, as well as the private sector, on a diverse array of topics including: mining, infrastructure, urban land release, construction management, agriculture, environmental systems, and envionmental impact and management. He has provided expert testimony in legal proceedings relating to his various fields of expertise in the NSW Supreme Court, District Court and Land and Environment Court, as well as tribunals in NSW and the ACT.

#### Affiliations

FIEAust	Fellow of the Institute of Engineers Australia
CPEng	Chartered Professional Engineer
NER	Registered on the National (Australian) Engineering Register
RPEQ	Registered Professional Engineer Queensland
APEC Engineer	Registered APEC Engineer (environmental engineering)
IntPE(Aus)	International Professional Engineer
AGS	Australian Geomechanics Society
AWA	Australian Water Association
ISSMGE	Internat. Society of Soil Mechanics / Geotechnical Engineering
IAH(Aus)	International Association of Hydrogeologists Australia
SEAg	Society for Engineering in Agriculture
SSEE	Society for Sustainability and Environmental Engineering
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers (Australian and NZ)
Lawyer	Admitted to Supreme Court of New South Wales

#### **Other Training**

Asbestos	Conduct Asbestos Assessment Associated With Removal 2017
Traffic	Authorised Traffic Control Plan Developer (Red Card) 2015

#### **Committees**

AS/NZ 1546.2	Committee for Waterless and Composting Toilets
AS/NZ 1546.3	Committee for Aerated Wastewater Treatment Systems
AS/NZ 1547	Committee for On-site Domestic Wastewater Management

#### **Awards**

Outstanding Academic Achievement in Law, MaqU Dean of Law 2017 Runner-up Best Paper, NZ Water / Wastewater Conference, Auckland, NZ 1995 Australian Young Achievers Finalist: Land and Water Care Category 1994 Rev. A. S. McCook Memorial Scholarship for Geography 1988

Employment				
1993 to date	Martens & Associates Pty Ltd, Director, Principal Engineer and Scientist			
	Principal engineer and scientist responsible for technical oversight of more than 9,000 projects. Technical responsibilities include civil and traffic engineering, hydrology and water resources, services delivery, environmental investigations and management, site contamination investigations and asbestos management, geotechnical investigations and design, building inspections and dilapidation survey, GIS and mapping, land capability assessment, environmental planning and impact assessment, hydrogeology, modelling and process simulation.			
2000 to 2015	Ecowerks Engineering Pty Ltd, Director, Principal Engineer and Scientist			
	Responsible for the design and construction of water management infrastructure facilities such as: sewage treatment plants, stormwater systems, and water and sewer servicing infrastructure.			
1995 to 1996	Environmental Technology Service Pty Ltd, Director, Principal Engineer and Scientist			
	Supervising environmental scientist / engineer responsible for co-ordination of the functional design of digital terrain based hydrological transport models (water, sediment and contaminants) and algorithms for the incorporation into environmental software (TCM-Manager <sup>™</sup> ).			
1990 - 1995	University of Sydney, Casual Lecturer			
	Teaching duties included: computer simulation in geomorphology; statistical methods in water resources; GIS; fluvial geomorphology (morphology and sedimentology); hydrographic survey and hydrological processes; soil science, water-quality and pollution in urban and rural regions of eastern Australia; environmental impact assessment.			
1989 to 1990	University of Sydney, Research Assistant			
	Morphometric and hydraulic studies and hydrographic surveys of the Bellinger, Clarence and Hawkesbury River systems. Work included river cross-sectional surveys, velocity and discharge profile measurements and gauging. Other research concerned the detection of tectonic movements in the East Australian Highlands, including field and mapping studies. At the Macintosh Centre for Quaternary Dating, tasks included geomorphological descriptions and areal photo interpretations of Tasmanian river systems.			

# Fields of Expertise and Competency

Hydrology, Flooding and Drainage	Including: mapping hydrological systems, river / stream gauging and flow assessment, hydrographic survey and instrumentation, flood forecasting and modelling, floodplain management strategies, technical review of modelling undertaken by others, stormwater and drainage system design, inspection and auditing of stormater drainage systems, water sensitive urban design (WSUD), and surface water quality management systems. Includes 300 + flood investigations and 500 + stormwater and drainage system investigations.
Water Supply	Incuding: demand analysis, raw water capture, pumping systems, agricultural supply, urban supply, demand analysis, reticulation systems and networks, hydraulic analysis, reservoirs and storages, dam and embankment design, and water treatment.
Wastewater Management & Sewerage Systems	Including: sewage generation / yield investigation, infrastructure planning, sewer reticulation systems design, sewage pumping stations, wet-weather flow management, risk management, hydraulic analysis, wastewater treatment plant design, effluent disposal and beneficial re-use, construction supervision.
Traffic Engineering	Including: traffic generation and impact assessment, parking, intersection and network modelling, swept path design and analysis, and dilapidation survey.
Civil Engineering	Including: civil project planning such as feasibilty and constraints analysis, cost assessment and options analysis; civil design such as, sub-division layout, roads and pavements, drainage, retaining structures, earthworks; design and planning of erosion and sediment control structures; construction management plans; and construction environmental management plans.

Geotechnical Engineering	Site investigations, including: drilling techniques, sampling, monitoring and remediation. Foundations, including: site testing, design, and settlement analysis. Excavations, including: design, shoring systems, risk analysis, and groundwater management. Slope stability assessment, including: site investigation, risk assessment, site remediation, modelling. Pavements, including: investigation, design and re-instatement.			
Dilapidaton Survey	Inspection and dilapidation surveys of buildings, roads, civil structures, shoring and retaining systems, footings and foundations.			
Land Contamination	Includes review of, undertaking, supervision or oversight of numerous land contamination investigations. Works include for example: preliminary risk assessments, detailed soil contamination investigations, remediation action plans, asbestos management plans, and assessment of impact of land contamination.			
Hydrogeology	Most areas of hydrologeology, including undertaking or supervising 100+ field or modelling investigations. Works include for example: bore field installation and monitoring, development or aquifer properties, groundwater quality monitoring, groundwater modelling, impact assessment, and pump testing.			
Coastal Processes and Engineering	Includes mapping of coastal systems, assessment of nearshore coastal processes (eg. waves, currents, tides, storm surge, sediment transport, coastal erosion and recession, sea level rise impacts, rainfall etc) and design of structures / works (eg. seawalls, revetnments, groynes, beach and dune stabilisation etc).			
Environmental Systems and Processes	Some 300 + environmental investigations covering: coastal systems and processes; estuarine systems; fluvial and riverine systems and processes; riparian corridor identification and management; soil processes; salinity investigations and management plans; geological and geomorphological processes; environmental monitoring, planning and modelling.			
Other Skills				
Aerial Photo Interpretation	Mapping: land clearing and soil erosion; earthworks including cut and filling operations; hydrological systems; and land cover classification.			
Soil Survey	Soil survey including: establishment of sample sites, physiochemical sampling, laboratory processing and mapping.			
Programming	Python, Visual Basic, Pascal, Assembly, Fortran, C++.			
GIS/CAD	12D, AutoCAD, BricsCAD, TurboCAD, QGIS, IDRISI, ARC/INFO, ERDAS, MapInfo.			

# ATTACHMENT B – TTM TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT



17 April 2024 Our Ref: 24GCT0055\_LT01B

Attention: Lachlan Prizeman

C/- Corrs Chambers Westgarth By Email

Dear Lachlan,

#### RE: Iron Gates Development, Evans Heads – Amended Design Submission

#### 1. Introduction

TTM Consulting Pty Ltd (TTM) has been engaged to undertake a traffic assessment for the Iron Gates Development in support of the amended design submission to Richmond Valley Council. TTM has undertaken a traffic study for the proposed residential lot subdivision based on the revised Iron Gates residential subdivision development application in Evans Heads. This assessment aims to discuss the proposed access road capacity including treatment required and impact on the local network.

This assessment has been undertaken in response to Council's Amended Statement of Facts and Contentions dated 7 March 2024, in particular:

• Contention 5(k)(iv), which states:

It has not been demonstrated that the unsignalised T intersection where Iron Gates Drive meets Road 01 & Road 02 at the south-eastern corner of the site meets the geometric design and safety requirements set out in Austroads Guide to Road Design. A Traffic Impact Assessment has not been undertaken in relation to the Amended Proposal.

• Contention 14(d), which states:

The proposal fails to address the negative impacts on the existing road infrastructure, and the amenity of the residents along the haul route. The Arcadis "Engineering Services and Civil Infrastructure Report" Revision 02 (amended development layout) dated 14 November 2023 s4.2 Earthworks Quantities states that 78,269 cubic metres of imported material will be required to raise the existing ground levels. The 78,269 cubic metres over a period of 12 weeks equates approximately 1 truck every 2 minutes for 12 weeks. (The stated quantities do not reflect the level



required to meet the 2023 design flood levels including the full 2010 Council adopted climate change criteria).

## 2. Site Location

The site is located along Iron Gates Drive, located approximately 2km west of Evans Head NSW. The property description of the development is Lot 163 DP 831052, Lots 276 and 277 DP 755624, Crown Road Reserve between Lots 163 DP 831052 and Lot 276 DP 755724, and Iron Gates Drive, Evans Head NSW.

The site is currently zoned for General Residential and Environmental Conservation uses according to the Richmond Valley Local Environmental Pan 2012 and is currently provided access from Iron Gates Drive.

#### 3. Development Description

The development is a concept proposal for residential subdivision of the site and the carrying out of subdivision works for Stage 1. Stage 1 will involve the creation of:

- 121 Residential lots
- 1 lot for a community refuge building
- Additional lots for public open space and conservation purposes
- Internal roads
- Upgrading of Iron Gates Drive.

Stage 2, if ultimately developed in accordance with the concept proposal, would involve the creation of a further 17 residential lots, for a total of 138 residential lots across the site.

## 4. Estimated Development Traffic Generation

TTM has estimated the expected peak hour trip generation for the proposed development. It is worth noting that the proposal is not a traffic generating development under the Transport and Infrastructure SEPP.

TfNSW's Guide to Traffic Generating Developments Updated traffic surveys (2013) recommends using specific generation rates, for planning purposes, for different development types. Application of these rates to the proposed development, results in the estimate of development site traffic generation, as shown in Table 1.

An in:out split of 20:80 for the morning peak period and 70:30 for the evening peak period has been assumed for the proposed residential dwellings. In the morning peak hour, there tends to be significant outbound trips as residents leave for work or other activities, resulting in a higher proportion of vehicles exiting the residential area. Conversely, in the evening peak hour, there is typically higher inbound trips as residents return home, which leads to a higher proportion of vehicles entering the residential area. Due to different work end times, the percentage of inbound trips during the evening peak hour (70%) is not same as the percentage of outbound trips during the morning peak hour (80%).



TTM understands that development consent for the construction of dwellings is not being sought as part of the current proposal. TTM also understands that the Richmond Valley Local Environmental Plan 2012 contains provisions that allow dual occupancies to be erected on sufficiently large lots in the General Residential zone.

TTM does not know whether any dual occupancies are intended for the future development of the site. However, a conservative assumption has been made for the purposes of this assessment that 55 of the residential lots (i.e., approximately 40%) would be developed as dual occupancies, to ensure that this potentiality is captured by the trip generation data.

TTM has undertaken the peak hour trip and daily trip generation estimation based on the maximum dwelling yield across the site.

Land Use	TfNSW Trip Rate	Extent	Trip Generation	In:Out Split	In:Out Trips
Morning Peak Hour					
Regional Area Dwelling	0.78 trips per dwelling	83 dwellings	59	20:80	12:47
Dual Occupancy	0.6 trips per dwelling	55 dual occupancies (110 dwellings)	66	20:80	13:53
Total			125		25:100
Evening Peak Hour					
Regional Area Dwelling	0.71 trips per dwelling	83 dwellings	65	70:30	45:20
Dual Occupancy	0.6 trips per dwelling	55 dual occupancies (110 dwellings)	66	70:30	46:20
Total			131 trips		91:40

Table 1: Peak Hour Trip Generation

#### Table 2: Daily Trip Generation

Land Use	TfNSW Daily Trip Rate	Extent	Daily Trip Generation
Regional Area Dwelling	7.4 trips per dwelling	83 dwellings	615
Dual Occupancy	6 trips per dwelling	55 dual occupancies (110 dwellings)	660
Total			1,275 trips

Based on the above, the proposed development is expected to generate 1,275 daily vehicle trips, 125 trips in AM peak hour and 131 trips in PM peak hour. All vehicular trips would access the site via Iron Gates Drive.



# 5. Trip Distribution of Generated Traffic

TTM has estimated the distribution of development traffic and the resulting turning volumes at the Iron Gates Drive/Site Access Intersection and Woodburn Street/Wattle Street intersection. TTM acknowledges that the estimated traffic represents a worst-case scenario for the Woodburn Street/Wattle Street intersection, considering that some traffic may divert onto Cypress Street or Cedar Street. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the percentage distribution of development trips and traffic volume at the Iron Gates Drive/Site Access Intersection and Woodburn Street/Wattle Street intersection.



Figure 1: Assumed percentage for development traffic distribution



Figure 2: Estimated development traffic volume into the nearest intersection.

## 6. Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volume

TTM has been provided AADT data from the Richmond Valley Council along Woodburn Street, as follows:

- Year 2007 3,505 AADT
- Year 2017 4,570 AADT

This increase in AADT between 2007 and 2017 corresponds to an average background traffic increase of 2.7% per annum.



TTM has estimated the base traffic for the 2024 scenario to be an AADT of 5,507 vehicles per day (vpd). This would correspond to volumes of 276 vehicles in each direction on Woodburn Street during peak hours (i.e., 10% of daily traffic during peak hours with a 50:50 split).

Currently, Iron Gates Drive is a no-through road near the proposed site. Given that there is no other development along Iron Gates Drive between the proposed site access and Cherry Street, it can be assumed that there is no traffic on this section of Iron Gates Drive.

Wattle Street serves as a link between Iron Gates Drive and Woodburn Street. There are several dwellings on both sides of Wattle Street, generating traffic volume towards the Wattle Street/Woodburn Street Intersection. Due to the lack of available traffic volume data on Wattle Street, TTM conducted a Lot count on both sides of Wattle Street between Chashmore Street and Booyong Street. It is assumed that 50% of these dwellings use Wattle Street, while the remaining 50% use Booyong Street and Chashmore Street. Taking this into account, Wattle Street accommodates trips from 65 dwellings, resulting in 47 trips during the morning peak and 51 trips during the afternoon peak.

Considering the above, the existing turning volumes at the Wattle Street/Woodburn Street Intersection and the Site Access/Iron Gates Drive Intersection are depicted in Figure 3





## 7. Peak Hour Traffic Volume during the design year (2034)

TTM has estimated the 2034 base case through traffic on Woodburn Street and the turning volume from Wattle Street onto Woodburn Street by applying a compounding growth factor of 2.7% over a span of 10 years from 2024. The 2034 project case has subsequently been derived from the sum of the development-generated traffic and the 2034 base case scenario. Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the turning volumes at the two intersections during morning and afternoon peak hours in 2034, respectively.




### Figure 4: Estimated Traffic Movements for Background Traffic 2034 Design Year



Figure 5: Estimated Traffic Movements for Development Generated Traffic 2034 Design Year

### 8. Turn Warrant Assessment for the nearest Intersections

TTM has conducted a turn warrant assessment for the future 2034 project design year at the Iron Gates Drive/Site Access Intersection and Woodburn Street/Wattle Street intersection, as depicted in Figure 6 and Figure 7.





Figure 6: Turn treatment assessment for Site Access/ Iron Gates Drive Intersection in the design year 2034

The assessment of the right turn treatment for Site Access/Iron Gates Drive, as depicted in Figure 6, indicates that a Basic Right (BAR) turn facility is sufficient to accommodate the right-turning volume at this intersection. The BAR turn facility should incorporate extra space on the roadway for through traffic movement when the lane is occupied by a right-turning vehicle. Consequently, turn treatments such as Channelised Right (CHR) are not warranted.



Figure 7: Turn treatment assessment for Woodburn Street / Wattle Street Intersection in the design year 2034



The assessment of turn treatments for right turns from southbound Woodburn Street to Wattle Street indicates the necessity of a Channelised Right Turn (CHR), while a Basic Left Turn (BAL) facility is deemed sufficient for left turns from northbound Woodburn Street to Wattle Street. TTM recommends incorporating a CHR turning treatment into the design of the Woodburn Street/Wattle Street intersection. This turning treatment is expected to be achievable through line marking and should be implemented before the completion of the development. Refer to Appendix B for the concept functional layout incorporating the required CHR treatment on Woodburn Street. As the updated concept proposal for the development (Appendix A) is expected to generate comparatively less traffic than the previous proposal, the functional layout provided in the previous traffic letter dated July 17, 2019 (refer Appendix B of this letter), can be retained.

### 9. Impact of the surrounding network due to bulk earthwork traffic

Arcadis has notified TTM that the bulk earthworks are expected to last 10 weeks, involving imports of 127,311m<sup>3</sup> conducted 6 days a week for 9 hours per day, with an anticipated total of 108 truck trips each way daily. This equates to a maximum average rate of 12 trucks per hour inbound and 12 trucks outbound.

Additionally, TTM has been informed that deliveries will be facilitated by Truck & Dogs (19m) from the Doonbah Quarry, located 5km west of Evans Head, utilising the route via Evans Head – Woodburn Road and then proceeding via Wattle Street. Consequently, all delivery traffic will pass through the Iron Gates Drive/Site Access Intersection and Woodburn Street/Wattle Street intersection.

The trip distribution for bulk earthworks and turning volumes at the intersection during this activity are depicted in Figures 8 and 9.



### Figure 8: Bulk earthwork traffic distribution during peak hours





Figure 9: Peak hour Turn volume at the intersection during bulk earthwork

Given the low traffic flow anticipated at the Iron Gates Drive/Site Access Intersection during bulk earthworks, a turn warrant assessment is deemed unnecessary as there would be no residential traffic movement at this intersection during that period.

TTM has conducted a turn warrant assessment specifically for the right turns from the northern approach (Woodburn Street) at the Woodburn Street/Wattle Street Intersection, considering scenarios with and without bulk earthwork traffic. These assessments are depicted in Figure 10 and Figure 11, respectively.



Figure 10: Turn Warrant Assessment for Woodburn Street / Wattle Street Intersection during Bulk Earthworks





### Figure 11: Turn Warrant Assessment for Woodburn Street / Wattle Street Intersection in existing condition

Following the turn warrant assessment, it is determined that a channelised right turn treatment is necessary for right turn movements from southbound Woodburn Road. However, the need for this turn warrant treatment is not triggered by bulk earthwork traffic but by the existing traffic conditions. Nevertheless, considering the temporary nature of the works and the resulting low traffic volume, coupled with the operational status of the current basic right (BAR) turning treatment, a channelised right turn treatment specifically due to bulk earthwork is deemed unnecessary.

TTM acknowledges that Woodburn Street currently features a 22m wide carriageway, including two 11m wide through lanes (which also accommodate informal car parking). This width provides ample space for a through vehicle to pass a waiting right-turning vehicle, effectively functioning as a BAR treatment. Therefore, TTM concludes that the existing intersection design is adequate to accommodate the traffic associated with the proposed bulk earthworks.

### 10. Conclusion

Based on the assessment outlined in this letter, it is determined that the proposed road Site Access/Iron Gates Drive can sufficiently handle the traffic generated by the development without requiring additional turn treatments at the intersection. The bulk earthwork is not expected to have a significant impact on the surrounding road network, as it is suitably designed to accommodate the anticipated development traffic.

TTM recommends the installation of a channelised right-turn facility on the northern approach of the Woodburn Street/Wattle Street Intersection before the completion of the development, in accordance with the concept plan of the intersection functional layout provided in Appendix B.



Yours sincerely,

-

Mahmud Hasan Lead Consultant

TTM Consulting Pty Ltd



# Appendix A Proposed Site Plan

F	Lot	<b>Area (sqm)</b>	F	<b>Lot</b>	<b>Area (sqm)</b>	CO
	2	602	-	79	625	
	3	602		80	614	
	4	602		81	603	
-	5	602		82	603	
-	6	602		83	603 603	
-	/	602	-	84 85	603	
ŀ	9	602		86	603	
	10	602		87	603	
	11	602		88	603	
	12	628		89	650	
-	13	600	-	90	618	
-	14	628	F	91	618	
	16	602		93	651	
	17	602		94	627	
	18	602		95	624	
	19	602	-	96	642	
	20	602	-	97	654	
	21	602	_	99	622	
	23	602		100	605	0
	24	602		101	638	
-	25	602		102	639	
ŀ	26	602 740	$\vdash$	103	620	
ŀ	27	604	-	104	610	
ŀ	29	602		106	655	
ļ	30	602		107	685	
ŀ	31	602	F	108	2463	
	32	602	F	109	/80 652	2
	34	602	F	110	649	
	35	628		112	617	
	36	600	_	113	610	
	37	600	_	114	615	
	39	602	F	115	618	
	40	602		117	619	
	41	602		118	630	142 (Pt)
	42	602		119	657	(31.12 ha)
	43	602	-	120	660	47.45 ha
	45	631		121	628	
	46	603		123	653	
	47	603		124	733	
-	48	603		125	714	
	<u>49</u> 50	603	F	126	650	
	51	618	-	128	683	
	52	606		129	603	322 OSED
	53	621	-	130	619	PROPOSITION 142 50
-	54	624	_	131	614	
ŀ	56	663		133	608	
	57	607		134	603	230
	58	618		135	607	
ŀ	59 60	603 602	┝	136 127	671 774	
ŀ	61	603	F	138	1140	7038
	62	603		139	1300	PROF
ļ	63	702	Ļ	140	57187	17.0 16.2
ŀ	64 65	718	$\vdash$	141	23199	
ŀ	66	630	┝	143	6150	
ŀ	67	633		144	3727	145
	68	634		145	3949	
ŀ	69	635	F	146	8190	25.4
	70	634		147	4982	139
ŀ	72	633	_			
	73	627				PROPOS
ļ	74	616				
ŀ	75	605	_			
-	76	601				
L	,,	002				
					E	Mesumed land vested simple in the Minister for
						RIVER Works as ner Governmen

ned land vested in fee the Minister for Public Works as per Government Gazette dated 11 May 1894

PROPOSED

146

127

\**112** \



![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Appendix B Concept Functional Layout of the Intersection

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

T: (07) 5514 8000 F: (07) 5514 8144 E: ttmgc@ttmgroup.com.au W: www.ttmgroup.com.au

ARCADIS

RJ

LD BB BB

DRAWN CHECKED APPROVED

AMENDMENT DESCRIPTION

copy

FUNCTIONAL LAYOUT WOODBURN STREET & WATTLE S

	PROJECT NUMBER	ORIGINAL SIZE
	24GCT0055	A3
	DRAWING NUMBER	REVISION
	24GCT0055-01	А
SIREEI	DATE	SHEET
	12 Apr 2024	1 OF 1

### ATTACHMENT C – AMENDED CONCEPT ENGINEERING PLANS

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

100mm on Original

	Client	Status FOR APPROVAL				Project RES LO	
		© Copyright reserved					
ROBERT & HARRIES		Origina	I Issue Signatures				
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	– BU	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94		
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			]	

# LEGEND

_···_ 5.0 _···_	PROPOSED CONTOUR
5.0	EXISTING CONTOUR
	BUILDING PAD PROPOSED MOUNTABLE KERB / LAYBACK KERB
	PROPOSED BARRIER KERB & CHANNEL
	PROPOSED SEMI-MOUNTABLE KERB
	PROPOSED EDGE RESTRAIN KERB
→ DC →	DIVERSION CHANNEL
	NOMINAL KERB LINE
	EARTHWORKS EXTENTS
	LITTORAL RAINFOREST BUFFER
	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL (MAX 1.5m)
	PROPOSED GABION WALL
	STAGE BOUNDARY
	MIDDEN LOCATION
	EARTHWORKS CUT
	EARTHWORKS FILL

# BULK EARTHWORKS VOLUMES

TOTAL CUT

TOTAL FILL 158,103m<sup>3</sup> TOTAL BALANCE (IMPORT) 127, 311m<sup>3</sup>

-30, 792m<sup>3</sup>

# NOTES:

- 1. EARTHWORKS VOLUMES HAVE BEEN CALCULATED BETWEEN THE EXISTING SURVEY SURFACE AND DESIGN EARTHWORKS SURFACE.
- 2. VOLUMES ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY AND DO NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE FOLLOWING:
- BULKING FACTORS - RETAINING WALL BACKFILL
- SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL BASINS AND DRAINS
- EXCAVATION FOR FUTURE BUILDING, CIVIL INFRASTRUCTURE OR LANDSCAPING WORKS.

![](_page_47_Picture_15.jpeg)

Tel No: +61 2 8907 9000 www.arcadis.com/au

Project	20100256
Number	30100300

03

IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0100 Date Plotted: 15 Apr 2024 - 10:41PM File Name: C:\Users\lpaz2589\DC\ACCDocs\Arcadis ACC US\AAU-30180356-Iron Gates Residential\Project Files\10\_WIP\10\_CV\Stage\_01\Drawings\IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0100-BulkEarthworksPlan\_Overall.dwg

Drawing No.

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

-·· 5.0 -··	PROPOSED CONTOUR
<u> </u>	EXISTING CONTOUR
	BUILDING PAD PROPOSED MOUNTABLE KERB / LAYBACK KERB
	PROPOSED BARRIER KERB & CHANNEL
	PROPOSED SEMI-MOUNTABLE KERB
	PROPOSED EDGE RESTRAIN KERB
$\longrightarrow$ DC $\longrightarrow$	DIVERSION CHANNEL
	EARTHWORKS EXTENTS
	LITTORAL RAINFOREST BUFFER
	LITTORAL RAINFOREST
	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL (MAX 1.5m)
	PROPOSED GABION WALL
	STAGE BOUNDARY
	MIDDEN LOCATION
	EARTHWORKS CUT
	EARTHWORKS FILL

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

				PPROVAL	RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT	ARCADIS	
	ROBERT A HARRIES		© Cop Original Issue Signatures	rright reserved	EVANS HEAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited Level 16, 580 George Street SYDNEY NSW 2000	
	SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn J. SANTOS	Original A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289	
03         AMENDED FPL DESIGN         GP         LP         LP         15.04.24           02         AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN         HP         LP         LP         10.11.23	50m		Designed MA. STA.CRUZ	Height AHD		www.arcadis.com/au	
01 ORIGINAL ISSUE JS LP LP 12.06.23 1:500			Project Manager L. PRIZEMAN	Grid GDA94	CUT AND FILL PLAN	Drawing No.	
Issue Description DR CH VE Date			Verified L. PRIZEMAN		SHEET 4	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0104 03	

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Status	FOR AF	PROV	4L	RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT	
		© Copyright reserved				EVANS HEAD	
ROBERT A HARRIES SURVEYOR		Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	
CONVETOR		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	BUIKEARTHWORKS	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	CUT AND FILL PLAN	
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 5	

LEGEND
--------

SEWER PUMP STATION

CH 61.624 CH 60.000

-·· 5.0 -··
5.0
→ DC →

TOTAL CUT

TOTAL FILL

Drawing No.

TOTAL BALANCE (IMPORT)

PROPOSED CONTOUR
EXISTING CONTOUR
BUILDING PAD PROPOSED MOUNTABLE KERB / LAYBACK KERB PROPOSED BARRIER KERB & CHANNEL PROPOSED SEMI-MOUNTABLE KERB
PROPOSED EDGE RESTRAIN KERB DIVERSION CHANNEL
EARTHWORKS EXTENTS
LITTORAL RAINFOREST BUFFER
LITTORAL RAINFOREST
PROPOSED RETAINING WALL (MAX 1.5m)
PROPOSED GABION WALL
STAGE BOUNDARY
MIDDEN LOCATION
EARTHWORKS CUT

ROAD 02

CH 20.000

-SAG 11.454

ROAD 01

CH 0.000

# BULK EARTHWORKS VOLUMES

EARTHWORKS FILL

-30, 791m<sup>3</sup> 157, 884m<sup>3</sup> 127, 093m<sup>3</sup>

03

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0105

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

JS LP LP 12.06.23

DR CH VE Date

01 ORIGINAL ISSUE

ssue

Description

100mm on Original

LOT 74			LOT 85	9	4.50m	ROAD 05	4.50m	-
STING SFACE	DESIGN					3.00% -3.00%		
		SECTIONSCALE 1:250						
2	LOT 31		LOT 30	LOT 29				
			DESIGN SURFACE	EXISTING SURFACE				
		SECTI SCALE 1:250						
		101.90m	·			SI	DESIGN JRFACE	ROAI
		SECTIONS SCALE 1:250						

ROBERT A HARRIES SURVEYOR	Client	Status	FOR APPROVAL					
		Origina	al Issue Signatures					
	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title		
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD			
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94			
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN					
	Date P	Plotted: 3 Apr 20	024 - 02:57PM File Nar	ne: C:\Users\san	tosj7508\DC\ACCDocs	Arcadis ACC US\A		

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

\_\_\_\_\_

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

						Scales						Surveyor
						0	5	10	15	20	25m	
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	GP	LP	LP	02.04.24			1 : 2	50			
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23							
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23							
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date							
	100mm on Ori	ginal				-						

	DT 55	► ◄	LOT 56			LOT 57	<b>⊳</b>  •	LOT 58
	DESIGN	EXISTING SURFACE						
	SE							
	SCA	LE 1:250 0100						
4.50m ROAD 07	4.50m	LOT 38	LOT 37			LOT 36		LOT 35
			_					
3.00% -3.00%								
	 CC							
	SCA	LE 1:250						
LOT 14		3	LOT 12		3.00m	ROAD 02	2.50m 4.60m	
		//////////////////////////////////////				3.00% -3.00%		<b>~</b>
	SE							
	SCA	LE 1:250						
5m ROAD 03	6.40m	LOT 44		LOT 28			LOT 27	4.50m RO/
		DESIGN	EXISTI SURFA	NG				
3.00% -3.00%								3.00%
	SE							
	SCA	LE 1:250 0100						
		LOT 5		. 4.50m .	ROAD 02	, 2.50m, 4.6	0m 1.88m	
	DESIGN- SURFACE		F					
					3.00% -3.00%			
					~ <i>//</i>		1	
	SE							
	SCA	LE 1:250 0100						

/or	Client	Status	Project RES			
ROBERT A HARRIES			] <sup>LO</sup>			
	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Origina	al Issue Signatures		]	
		Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			1

![](_page_54_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

-	4.70m	ROAD 02	5.16m	LOT 137	LOT 136
		3,00%			

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

LOT 131	ROAD 02	LOT 109	4.70m	ROAD 10	4.50m		LOT 106	
						DESIGN- SURFACE	EXISTING	
				3.00% -3.00%				Ì

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

LOT 97	LOT 94	LOT 91		4.50m	ROAD 02	2.50
		DESIGN	EXISTING SURFACE		3.00% - 2.00%	-
					3.00% 3.00%	

SECTION	4
SCALE 1:250	0100

r		Client	Status	FOR AP	PROV	4L	Project RES	
ROBERT A HARRIES SURVEYOR			] LO					
		Origina	Il Issue Signatures		]			
	SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	
			Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		
			Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94		
			Verified	L. PRIZEMAN				
		Date P	lotted: 3 Apr 20	24 - 02:57PM File Nam	e: C:\Users\sa	ntosi7508\DC\ACCDocs\4	Arcadis ACC US	

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

000.048 H2 116 H8 d1 528 648 d1 528 648 d1 528 648 d1 5000 098 H2 000 098 H2 1006 H5 26 d1 5		CH3STIC CH3SON	
000:09       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:396       000:09         128:300       000:00         128:300       000:00         128:300       000:00         128:300       000:00         128:300       000:00         128:300       000:00         128:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       000:00         129:300       00:00         129:300       00:00         129:300       00:00         129:300       00:00         129:300       00:00         129:300       00:00         129:300       00:00	/ / / / / / / / / KERB /		
LAYBACK KERB         PROPOSED BARRIER KEI         PROPOSED SEMI-MOUNT         PROPOSED EDGE RESTF         EARTHWORKS EXTENTS         SWD         SWD         SWD         SWD         PROPOSED STORMWATE         RETICULATION AND STRI         PROPOSED SEWERAGE I         MANHOLE AND         PROPOSED STORMWATE         PROPOSED STORMWATE         PROPOSED SEWERAGE I         MANHOLE AND         PROPOSED STORMWATE         PROPOSED STORMWATE         PROPOSED RETAINING V         PROPOSED GABION WAL	RB & CHANNEL TABLE KERB RAINT RAINT INE, CULATION R CTION VALL		
PROPOSED PAVEMENT         PROPOSED BIO         MIDDEN LOCATION         POTENTIAL FOOTPATH L         PROPOSED BOARDWALK         STAGE BOUNDARY	OCATION	SHT 2 SHT 5	
03     AMENDED FPL DESIGN       02     AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN       01     ORIGINAL ISSUE	Image: Second		40

Description 100mm on Original 

DR CH VE Date

1 : 500

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

				Scales	Surveyor	Client	Status	FOR AP	PROVA	۸L	Project RES
								© Copyriç	ight reserved		
					ROBERT A HARRIES		Origina	Issue Signatures			
						GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN J:	IS LP LP 15.0	04.24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SURVETOR		Designed		Height		
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN H	IP LP LP 10.1	11.23	0 5 10 20 30 40 50m				MA. STA.CRUZ	Datum		l ROA
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	IS LP LP 12.0	06.23	1 : 500			Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	
Issue	e Description D	DR CH VE Da	ate				Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			
<b></b>	100mm on Original	l				Date Plott	ted: 15 Apr 2024	- 09:04PM File Name:	C:\Users\lpaz2	.589\DC\ACCDocs\Arcar	dis ACC US\AAU

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

ROBERT A HARRIES	<i>.</i>
SURVEYOR	(

			INTERSECTION ROAD 02				/- DESIG SURF/ /- EXIST SURF/	ING ACE		A HI CH 126.535				1 N M4105 979		INTERSECTION ROAD 02	CH 217.973
																	•
Vertical Curve Length (m)		22 IP RL 3.172	<b>N</b>	065. E JP RL 3.550	λ				V	007 05 107 107					C IP RL 3.619	VC >	
Vertical Curve Radius (m) Vertical Grade (%)	0 1	R 1982	1 45%	R 2632		0	5%		F	R 2500		-0.5%			R 7	14	
Vertical Grade (1 in)	53	30.6	69		<u> </u>	2	200			><		-200				33.3	
Horizontal Curve Radius (m)				_R.50									R10	1			
DATUM RL12.000														$\downarrow$			$\rightarrow$
DESIGN LEVELS ON																	
ROAD CENTRELINE	3.145 3.149	3.212 3.266	3.354 3.409 3.443	3.528 3.561 3.584	3.653 3.674	3.774	3.874	3.945	3.967	3.976 3.945	3.940 3.840		3.740 3.745	3.681 3.681	3.012 3.688 3.728	3.994	4.002
DESIGN LIP OF																	
KERB (LHS)	3.040 3.043	3.104 3.160	3.247 3.302 3.336	3.421 3.454 3.478	3.546 3.568	3.668	3.768	3.838	3.861	3.869	<u>3.7</u> 33		3.633 3.633	3.575 3.575	3.582		
DESIGN LIP OF																	_
KERB (RHS)				3.421 3.454 3.478	3.546 3.568	3.668	3.768	3.838	3.861	3.869	3.733		3.633	3.575 3.575	3.582 3.624		
EXISTING SURFACE																	
ON ROAD CENTRELINE	.138	176 229	.123 .051		.484	.450	.432	.543	.591	.742	0c7.		741	.747	769		+20.
CUT / FILL DEPTH	0.007 3 -0.002 3	0.036 3	0.230 3 0.358 3 0.439 3	0.777 2 0.912 2	1.169 2 1.163 2	1.324 2	1.443	1.401 2	1.377 2	1.333         2           1.203         2	1.190		0.999 2 0.999	0.934 2	0.960 2 0.960 2	1.179 2	
PEGGED CHAINAGE	0.000	14.338 20.000	26.838 30.653 33.068	40.000 43.153 45.687	55.653 60.000	80.000	100.000	114.035	120.000	126.535 139.035	140.000		179.990 180.000	191.700	200.000 204.200	216.700	616.112

			1										
						Scales							Surveyor
							10	00	10			100	
							10	20	40	60	80	100m	
										. 1000			
										. 1000			
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24			5		10	15	20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23					1 · 200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23					1.200			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
	100mm on Or	iginal											

## ROAD 01 LONGITUDINAL SECTION SCALE: HORIZONTAL - 1:1000 VERTICAL - 1:200

Surveyor	Client	Status	FOR AF		AL.	Project RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 277 IRON GATES ROAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited
ROBERT A HARRIES SURVEYOR		Origina	I Issue Signatures	Original			Level 16, 580 George Street SYDNEY NSW 2000 ARN 76 104 495 290
	GOLDCORAL PTT LTD	Diawii	J. SANTOS	Size	A1	Title	ABN 70 104 403 209
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		www.arcadis.com/au  Project 30180356
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	SECTIONS	Drawing No.
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 1	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0251 03

LO CH11.454	INTERSECTION CH 51.343	HI CH 112 268			LO CH182.754		- DESIGN SURFACE - EXISTING SURFACE	HI CH 252.403		INTERSECTION RO/ CH 294.138 LO CH311.534		HI CH 378.820			LO CH442.951		HI CH 497.476		INTERSECTION RO CH 532.220 LO CH547.150				
VC 378	0.97% 103.1		VC > 453	-0.75% -133.3	099. 25 VC R 2000		0.5%		<u></u>	• 099 € R 2146 05% 0.4	0.5% 200 <u>R-13.5</u>		<u>R-13.5</u>	 		<u>1.15%</u> 87	V 661 + 12 - 10 87 1250 R 1250 R 1250	-0.85% -117.6	+ Lthree results of the second secon		<u>1.1%</u> 90.9		<u>R13.5</u>
. 292 . 292 . 486		.874 .051 .067 .118	.119 .098 .078	.952	.744 .689 .688	.713 .749	.849	949 957 984 983	.936	.733 .717 .686 .686 .702 .712	.801 .805 .861	.901 .933 .945 .964 .964	.933 .929 .889 .871	.789	712 696 694 694 697 702 794	.019 .055		.991		.091	.311	.531 .571	.751 .752 .861
21 21 3 39 33 86 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		63 63 3 156 4 4 106 4 4	007 4 187 4 167 4	90 3	322 3 778 3 776 3 3776 3	01 3 37 3	37 3	837 3 445 3 845 3 877 3 877 3 877 3 859 3 859 3	255 3 36 3	775 3 174 3 174 3 174 3 174 3 101 3	3 33 20 133 20 133	[59 3] 779 3 1322 3 184 3 187 3 187 3 188	009 3 091 3	3	833 833 8114 8114 8117 823 823 823 823 823 823 823 823 823 823	39 4 75 4	148 148 154 148 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 14		227 3 229 3 29 3	02 4 3 02 4	122 4	179 4 160 4	339 4 339 4 340 4 49 4
80 83 <u>3.3.1</u> 3.1 80 3.1	74	68 <u>3.7</u> 61 <u>3.5</u> 11 <u>4.0</u>	92 <u>3.9</u> 72 <u>3.9</u>	45 <u>3.6</u> 95 <u>3.6</u>	37 <u>3.6</u> 83 <u>3.5</u> 81 <u>3.5</u> 3.5	06 <u>3.6</u> 42 <u>3.6</u>	42 3.7	42         3.6           51         3.6           77         3.6           76         3.6           64         3.6	30 <u>3.6</u> 41 <u>3.7</u>	27 10 80 3.5 96 3.5 06 3.5 06 3.5	95 <u>3.6</u> 99 <u>3.6</u> 55 <u>3.7</u>	95         3.8           226         3.9           339         4.0           553         4.0           50         4.0	13         4.0           09         4.1           69         4.1           51         3.5	69 3.0	92 77 77 83 83 3.8 83 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.9 83 3.6 9 3.5 9 3.6 9 3.6 9 3.6 9 3.6 9 3.6 9 3.6 9 3.6 9 7 6 9 2 8 3.7 7 7 6 9 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	35 4.1	008 116 116 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 11	2 12	33 87 35 89 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	73 3.5	99	19 4.2 60 4.2	39 4.6 40 4.6 49 4.7
5.21 5.21 5.11 3.33	3.57	3.76 3.96 4.0	3.99	<u>3.8</u> 3.6(	<u>3.55</u> <u>3.55</u>	3.6(	3.7	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	3.85	<u>3.56</u> <u>3.57</u> <u>3.57</u> <u>3.57</u>	<u>3.7</u> 6 3.77	3.7( 3.8( 3.8( 3.8( 3.8( 3.8( 3.8( 3.8( 3.8	3.8 3.70 3.77	3.66	3.55 3.57 3.57 3.57 3.57 3.57 3.57 3.57	30.00 30.00 30.00	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3.8	3.7( 3.7( 3.6( 3.6( 3.6(	3.77	4.1	4.4	4.6
3.045 3.045 2.893 2.893 1.851	2.035	1.967 2.172 2.218 2.487	2.527 2.591 2.602	2.660	2.908 2.786 2.755 2.755	2.780 2.875	2.646	2.732 2.748 2.691 2.691 2.641	2.716 2.845	2.841 2.816 2.816 2.617 2.617 2.675 2.605	<u>1.438</u> <u>1.101</u> 2.821	3.009 3.066 3.078 3.046 3.046 2.998	2.829 2.789 2.605 2.598	3.045	3.091           3.056           3.056           3.054           3.054           2.979           2.973           2.977           2.978           2.978           2.978	<u>3.089</u> 3.105	3.164 3.156 3.152 3.144 3.127 3.080	3.038	3.102 3.124 3.138 3.151 3.146	3.154 3.303 3.303	3.218	3.345 3.312	3.397 3.396 3.361
0.182 0.158 0.400 1.636	1.646	1.907 1.878 1.849 1.631	1.592 1.508 1.476	1.292 0.921	0.836 0.904 0.906 0.933	0.932	1.203	1.216 1.209 1.293 1.329 1.329	1.221	0.892 0.900 1.026 1.069 1.08	2.364 2.704 1.040	0.892 0.867 0.868 0.968 0.916 0.953	1.104 1.140 1.284 1.272	0.744	0.621 0.641 0.660 0.670 0.718 0.749 0.813 0.816	0.931 0.951	0.964 0.978 0.985 0.994 1.009 1.012	0.954	0.752 0.703 0.669 0.657 0.657	0.731	1.093	1.186 1.259	1.354 1.355 1.499
11.454 15.119 20.000 40.000	60.000	80.000 98.169 100.000 110.669	112.268 120.000 123.169	140.000 160.000	167.754 180.000 180.254 182.754	192.754 200.000	220.000	240.000 241.672 252.403 254.172 260.000	266.672 280.000	297.266 300.000 309.766 311.534 322.266	340.000 340.782 352.010	360.000 366.320 369.195 375.307 378.820 380.000	391.320 392.084 400.000 403.770	420.000	435.375 440.000 442.951 443.755 446.053 447.875 460.000 460.375	480.000 483.101	492.487 494.510 495.601 497.476 500.000 508.101	520.000	540.000 547.150 548.753	561.253 580.000	600.000	620.000 623.701	640.000 640.094 650.000
11 4E4 IN 182 IS 124 IS 121 IS 227 I 227 I 22 CH11.454 IS 121 IS 227 I 22 CH11.454	11     0     0     0     0     0       20.000     0.158     3.087     5.289     3.139     3.245       20.000     0.400     2.893     5.180     3.186     3.292       20.000     0.400     2.893     5.180     3.186     3.292       15.119     0.158     3.139     3.245     1       20.000     0.400     2.893     5.180     3.186       1     1     3.186     3.292     1       1     1     1     1     1	15.110     0.118     3.087     5.289     3.139     3.245       20.000     0.400     2.893     5.180     3.186     3.225       20.000     0.400     2.893     5.180     3.186     3.225       40.000     1636     1.851     3.380     3.186     3.245       60.000     1.646     2.035     3.574     3.680     1	15:179         0:188         3:087         5:289         3:139         3:246         1           20:000         0.400         2833         5:180         3:186         3:292         5         1         1         1           20:000         1636         1.851         3:380         3:486         1         <	15/170         0.188         3007         5.209         3.139         3.246           20000         1.656         1.651         3.166         3.166         3.222         1         1           20000         1.656         1.651         3.166         3.166         3.166         1         1         1           20000         1.656         1.651         3.360         3.166         1	15/19         0.000         0.156         0.166 <th< td=""><td>3119         0.113         0.013         3037         3386         3135         3386         113         <!--</td--><td>31710         0.163         0.364         0.763         0.376         0.764         0.164         <th< td=""><td>SURFACE         Class         State         &lt;</td><td>10000         1000         <t< td=""><td>1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10</td><td>1000         <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></t<></td></th<></td></td></th<>	3119         0.113         0.013         3037         3386         3135         3386         113 </td <td>31710         0.163         0.364         0.763         0.376         0.764         0.164         <th< td=""><td>SURFACE         Class         State         &lt;</td><td>10000         1000         <t< td=""><td>1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10</td><td>1000         <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></t<></td></th<></td>	31710         0.163         0.364         0.763         0.376         0.764         0.164 <th< td=""><td>SURFACE         Class         State         &lt;</td><td>10000         1000         <t< td=""><td>1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10</td><td>1000         <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></t<></td></th<>	SURFACE         Class         State         <	10000         1000 <t< td=""><td>1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10</td><td>1000         <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></td></t<>	1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10         1/10           1/10	1000         1000 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>												

						Scales							Surveyor
						0	10	20	40	60	80	100m	
						HORI.		20	40	00	00	Toom	
									1:1	000			
						0		<i>c</i>	4.0	,	45	00	
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24	UVERT.		5		)	15	20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23				1 • 2	200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23				1.2	.00			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
	100mm on Or	qinal		•									

ROAD 02 LONGITUDINAL SECTIO	)N

	Client	Status	FOR A	PROVA	AL.	
			© Copyr	ight reserved		
ROBERT & HARRIES		Origina	al Issue Signatures			EV.
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title
CONVENCIÓ		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	S S
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			
	Da	ate Plotted: 15 Apr 2	024 - 09:05PM File Na	me: C:\Users\lpa	az2589\DC\ACCDocs\A	rcadis ACC US\AAU-30180356-Ir

![](_page_62_Picture_5.jpeg)

ron Gates Residential\Project Files\10	_WIP\10_CV\Stage	e_01\Drawings\IRG-AAP-DA	A-00-DRG-CV-0251-Road

		HI CH 692.357	LO CH714.614	CH 727.809		INTERSECTION ROAD 03	CH 787.790			HI CH 870.275	,		- DES SUI - EXI SUI	SIGN RFACE STING RFACE	INTERSECTION ROAD 09 CH 968.627	LO CH980.970			HI CH 1044.118	LO CH1068.018 INTERSECTION ROAD 10 CH 1069.337				HI CH 1145.450		INTERSECTION ROAD 09 CH 1193.175					
Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m) Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in) Horizontal Curve Radius (m) DATUM RL11.000		1.1% 90.9 S25 VC → R 1563	0.5% 200 R-50	>		-50	0.7% 142.9		<u>R.50</u>		5 VC	R <u>.</u> s	-1.2 -83	<u>.%</u> .4		61877 B R 125	′C 50 ≪	<u>2.8%</u> 35.7	667 8 521 -2 R 521 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	809'5 V(		<u>5.8%</u> 17.2	SOE 6 12 dl VC R 390				-1.9 -52. R50	% 6 	<b>&gt;</b>		<u></u> R16
DESIGN LEVELS ON	971	138 183 225 232 213	213 194 192 176 166	173 218 293	433 452	532 573	713	353	993 006 062	133 141 173	169 137 078	911 820 777	571	431	191 127 119	330 330 369	174	519 532 749 899	087 099 103 999	858 794 851	018 333 735 110	270	016 052	380 390 320 84	504	124	352 773 744	580 364	294 984	532 532	224
DESIGN LIP OF KERB (LHS)	4.749 4.8	5.026 5. 5.072 5. 5.112 5. 5.121 5.2 5.121 5.2 5.102 5.2	5.101 5.2 5.082 5.5 5.064 5.5 5.064 5.5	5.182 5.182 5.182	5.322 5.4 5.4	<u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	<u>م</u>	5.742 5.6	5.882 5.9 5.895 6.0	6.022 6.029 6.029 6.061 6.05	.0 cc0.0 cc0	5.799 5.0 5.708 5.1 5.665 5.1	5.559 5.(	5.319 5.	5.079 5. 5.015 5. 5.008 5.	4.918         5.0           4.918         5.0           4.918         5.0           4.918         5.0           4.918         5.0           4.959         5.0	5.063 5.	5.408 5.1 5.521 5.1 5.638 5.1 5.788 5.1	5.975 6.0 5.987 6.0 5.992 6.1 5.888 5.0	0.770 5.7	6.251 6.1 6.653 6.1 7.7078 7.7	8.188 8.1 8.353 8.4 8.353 8.4	8.927 9.0 8.971 9.0	8.999 9.0 9.009 9.0 9.009 9.0 8.938 9.0 8.803 8.1	8.423	8.030	7.741 7.8 7.661 7.1 7.533 7.1	7.468 7.4	6.828 6.9	6.737 6.0	6.308
DESIGN LIP OF KERB (RHS)	4.749 4.859	5.026 5.072 5.112 5.121 5.102	5.101 5.082 5.081 5.064 5.055 5.055	5.182 5.182	5.340 5.340	5.462 5.462	5.602	5.742	5.882 5.895 5.950	6.022 6.029 6.061	6.025 5.966	5.799 5.708 5.665	5.559	5.319		3.567 3.565 3.556 4.959	5.063	5.408 5.521 5.638 5.788	5.975 5.987 5.992 5.894 5.894	5.709 5.771 5.771	5.330 6.251 6.653 7.078	8.188 8.353	8.927 8.971	8.939 9.009 8.938 8.803 8.803	4.470	4.840	7.741 7.661 7.633	7.212	6.798	6.451 6.392	6.139
EXISTING SURFACE ON ROAD CENTRELINE	3.361 3.410	3.400 3.290 3.107 3.133 3.373	3.377 3.456 3.461 3.544 3.657 3.663	3.658 3.644 3.624 3.624	<u>3.735</u> 3.775	3.821 3.919	4.066	4.049	3.992 3.963 3.854	3.806 3.808 3.820	3.82/ 3.945 4.068	4.301 4.371 4.394	4.507	4.715	4.801 4.853 4.873	5.209 5.214 5.229 5.573	5.476	5.597 5.649 5.697 5.607	5.620 5.646 5.658 5.830 5.830	6.062 6.302 6.577	0.900 7.186 7.496 7.900	8.592 8.713	8.880 8.903	8.968 8.956 8.952 8.791 8.636	8.161	7.916	7.922 7.943 7.030	8.053 8.623	8.805 9.511	10.196 10.274	9.956
CUT / FILL DEPTH	1.499	1.737 1.893 2.118 2.099 1.840	1.836 1.738 1.731 1.510 1.505	1.516 1.574 1.669	1.677	1.711 1.654	1.647	1.804	2.001 2.044 2.08	2.327 2.333 2.353	2.342 2.192 2.010	1.610 1.448 1.383	1.164	0.716	0.390 0.274 0.246	-0.179 -0.184 -0.200 -0.504	-0.301	-0.078 -0.017 0.053 0.292	0.467 0.454 0.445 0.169	-0.111 -0.204 -0.508 -0.726	-0.882 -0.853 -0.762	-0.322 -0.328	0.137 0.150	0.112 0.134 0.138 0.229 0.249	0.343	0.208	-0.070 -0.170	-0.472 -0.472 -1.259	-1.511	-3.592 -3.742	-3.732
PEGGED CHAINAGE	650.000 660.000	675.169 680.000 687.669 692.357 700.000	700.169 703.938 704.197 704.434 714.614 716.697	720.000 729.197 740.000	760.000 762.658	774.154 780.000	800.000	820.000	840.000 841.911 849 823	860.000 861.063 870.275	886.063	900.000 907.600 911.153	920.000	940.000	960.000 965.371 965.972	979.704 980.000 980.970 990.972	1000.000	1015.972 1020.000 1024.183 1029.534	1040.000 1042.034 1044.118 1054.534	1061.607 1061.607 1068.018 1074.107	1080.000 1086.607 1093.537	1120.000 1122.853	1137.853 1140.000	1142.671 1145.248 1145.450 1152.853 1160.000	1180.000	1200.000	1214.318 1218.485 1220.000	1228.614	1243.002 1260.000	1280.000 1283.787	1300.000
													ROA		ONGITI		SECT														

						Scales							Surveyor
						0	10	20	40	60	90	100m	
						U HORI.⊑		20	40	00	00	100111	
									1:1	1000			
								_					
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24	0 VERT		5		0	15	20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23				1 ·	200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23					200			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
	100mm on Ori	ginal									,		

Client	Status	FOR AF	PPROVA	NL.	Project RESIDENTIA
		© Copyr	ight reserved		
	Origina	al Issue Signatures			T EVA
GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title
	Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	- ROADIC
	Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	
	Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			S
	Client	Client Status Client GOLDCORAL PTY LTD Origin Drawn Designed Project Manager Verified	Client FOR AF GOLDCORAL PTY LTD Status Status FOR AF © Copyr Original Issue Signatures Drawn J. SANTOS Designed MA. STA.CRUZ Project Manager L. PRIZEMAN Verified L. PRIZEMAN	Client  Status  FOR APPROVA  © Copyright reserved  Original Issue Signatures  Original Size  Drawn J. SANTOS Original Size  Designed MA. STA.CRUZ Height Datum Project MA. STA.CRUZ Height Datum Verified L. PRIZEMAN Grid	Client  Status  FOR APPROVAL  © Copyright reserved  Original Issue Signatures  Drawn J. SANTOS Original Size A1  Designed MA. STA.CRUZ Height Datum AHD Project Manager L. PRIZEMAN Grid GDA94 Verified L. PRIZEMAN

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

•				•••			-	
n Gates Residential\Project Files\10_WIP\10	_CV\Stage	_01\Drav	vings\IRG-/	AAP-D	A-00-DF	RG-CV-	0251·	-Road

						Scales 0	10	20	40	60	80	100m	Surve
						HORI.			1:1	000			
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24			5	1	0	15	20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23				1 • '	200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23	]			1.4	200			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
	100mm	n on Original		1	1	•							

Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in) Horizontal Curve Radius (m)
DATUM RL12.000
DESIGN LEVELS ON
ROAD CENTRELINE
DESIGN LIP OF
KERB (LHS)
DESIGN LIP OF
KERB (RHS)
EXISTING SURFACE
ON ROAD CENTRELINE
CUT / FILL DEPTH
PEGGED CHAINAGE

Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m)

								INTERSECTION ROAD 10 CH 1474.194							DESIGN SURFACI EXISTING SURFACI		HI CH 1649.450			LO CH1698.453				CH 1752.874									CH 1915.096	
<u>R1</u>		R <u>-11</u> .5	<u>-1.9%</u> -52.6		807.7 HZ dl 25 VC R 1786	1.5	R.10.5	-0.5% -200 R	-50			5 VC -> 2500		<u>0.5%</u> 200		F	25	5 VC	-1.35% -74.1	0 <u>59</u> : T2 d R 1250 R 1250	>		< R	-13.5 >	<u>0.65%</u> 153.8		.R.50		91/27 TZ JU 25 V R 106	<u>C</u> 34 R.13.5	3% 33.3		~	
6.224 6.066	5.844	5.568 5.488 5.464	5.084	4.704 4.645	4.452 4.404 4.362	4.354 4.345 4.286	4.189 4.186 4.151	4.086 3.986 3.967	3.886	3.786	3.705 3.689 3.687 3.687	3.674 3.699 3.705	3.799	3.899	3.999	4.076 4.099 4.112	4.112 4.129 <i>A</i> 117	4.117 4.110 4.088	4.006 3.840 3.819	3.712 3.705 3.706	3.731	3.909	3.948	4.059	4.208	4.338	4.466 4.468 4.474	4.598 4.635	4.789 4.789	4.040 4.882 4.950 5.091	5.374	5.974	6.427	
6.308 6.47	5.904	5.628 5.548 5.574	5.144	4.764 4.705	4.512 4.464 4.422	4.414 4.405 4.346	4.249 4.246 4.211		3.946	3.846	3.765 3.749 3.749 3.747 3.739	3.734 3.759 3.765	3.859	3.959	4.059	4.145 4.176 4.176	4.194 4.222 4.210	4.219 4.214 4.198	4.126 3.960 3.939	3.834 3.825 3.826	3.851	3.938 4.029	3.862	3.948 3.967	4.097	4.227	4.354 4.357 4.362	4.487	4.681 4.581	4.770 4.770 4.839 4.979	5.262	5.862	5.386	
6.139 5.000	5.793	5.516 5.437 5.413	5.033 5.033	4.653 4.694	4.401 4.353 4.310	4.302 4.294 4.234	4.137 4.134 4.100	4.034 3.934 3.910	3.893	3.726	3.645 3.625 3.629 3.627 3.619	3.614 3.639 3.645	3.739	3.839	3.939	4.006 4.022 4.031	4.031 4.037 4.015	4.006 3.978	3.886 3.720 3.699	3.594 3.585 3.586	3.611	3 789	3.828	3.948 3.967	4.097	4.227	4.354 4.357 4.362	4.487 4.523	4.681 4.715	4.770 4.770 4.839 4.979	5.262	5.862	3.997	
9.956 0.422	8.819	7.706 7.396 7.309	5.541	4.140 4.090	3.832 3.798 3.760	3.751 3.743 3.688 3.688	3.520 3.509 3.437	3.323 3.227 3.197	3.164 3.016	2.819	2.860 2.956 2.972 3.011	2.992 2.897 2.885	2.761	2.756	2.673	2.610 2.622 2.632	2.63/ 2.684 2.713	2.713 2.718 2.719	2.711 2.730 2.724	2.681 2.700 2.713	2.777 2.650	2.759 2.759	2.716	2.853 2.875	2.873	2.941	3.022 3.024 3.028	3.048 3.049	3.117	3.155 3.155 3.162 3.173	3.243	3.457	3.769	
-3.732 3.367	-2.975	-2.138 -1.907 -1.844	-1.0444 -0.457	0.564 0.556	0.620 0.606 0.602	0.602 0.603 0.597	0.669 0.677 0.714	0.763 0.759 0.770	0.788 0.870	0.967	0.844 0.733 0.715 0.715	0.682 0.802 0.820	1.038	1.143	1.326	1.466 1.477	1.475 1.445 1.405	1.405 1.393 1.369	1.295 1.110 1.095	1.032 1.005 0.993	0.955	0.960 1.150	1.233	<u>1.207</u> 1.204	1.336	1.398	<u>1.444</u> 1.445 1.446	1.550 1.585	1.672 1.672	1.003 1.726 1.788 1.918	2.130	2.516	2.657	
1300.000	1320.000	1334.535 1338.735 340.000	360.000	380.000 383.005	1395.595 1400.000 1405.241	1406.578 1408.095 1420.000	1439.363 1440.000 1446.896	1460.000 480.000 480.000	1486.610 500.000	520.000	1536.186 1540.000 540.632 543.572	1548.686 1560.000 1561.186	1580.000	1600.000	1620.000	1635.356 640.000 647.693	1642.693 1649.450 665 103	1656.658 1660.000	1667.693 680.000 681.578	1694.078 1698.453 700.000	1706.578	733.925	1740.000	1757.076	1780.000	1800.000	[819.559 [820.000 [820.794	1840.000 845.578	858.078	1800.000 1862.600 1865.474 870.578	1880.000	1900.000	1915.097	
		<u> </u>					<u> </u>					ROA	<u>−</u>   <u>D 02 L(</u> sc	CALE: HORIZONT VERTICAL - 1	TAL - 1:1000 1:200	SECTI	<u>ION</u>	<u></u>			<u>  ~     ~</u>				-	-		~  <del>~</del>		<u>-   ←   ←   </u>				
15.04. 10.11. 12.06.	Scales ( HORI.] 24 23 23	) 10	20 5	40 6 1 : 1000 10 1 : 200	0 80  	100m 20m	Surveyor	ROBERT SUR	A HARF VEYOR	les	CI	ient G(	OLDCC	RAL PT	Y LTD		Status C Drawn Design Project Manag	Driginal Is ned t jer d	FOR © C ssue Signatures J. SANTOS MA. STA.CRUZ L. PRIZEMAN	APPRC opyright reser Origin Size Heigh Datun Grid	DVAL ved nal	A1 AHD GDA94		Project RESID LOT 2	DENTIAL 277 IRO EVAN DAD LO SE(	DEVEI N GATE NS HEA	LOPMEN ES ROAI D	NT D	Arcadis A Level 16, SYDNEY ABN 76 1 Tel No: +( www.arca	ustralia Pacifi 580 George S NSW 2000 04 485 289 61 2 8907 90 adis.com/au	fic Pty Limited Street		Project Number	S 30180356

© Copyright reserved EVANS HEAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited		
	Level 16, 580 George Street		
SURVEYOR     GOLDCORAL PTY LTD     Drawn     J. SANTOS     Original Size     A1	ABN 76 104 485 289		
Designed MA. STA.CRUZ Height Datum AHD ROAD LONGITUDINAL	www.arcadis.com/au	roject 30180	356
Project Manager L. PRIZEMAN Grid GDA94 SECTIONS	Drawing No.	umber 50100	Issue
Verified L. PRIZEMAN SHEET 4	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-02	254	03

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

						Scales							Surveyor
							10		10			100	
							10	20	40	60	80	100m	
									1 :	1000			
										1000			
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24	0 VERT		5		10	15	20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23				 1 ·	200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23					200			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
	100mm on Ori	ginal				-							

			INTERSECTION ROAD 06	CH 94.610						INTERSECTION ROAD 07 CH 212.583		- DESI SURI - EXIS SURI	GN FACE TING FACE					
	*								0.5%		/							
	<u>R.12.5</u>		R	-50					200 R <u>-5</u> 0						R	20		
3.672 3.683	3.736	3.772	3.842	3.860 3.872	3.972	4.072	4.172	4.272	4.337 4.355 4.372	4.472	4.572	4.672	4.772	4.872	4.961 4.972	5.014	5.072	
3.561 3.572	3.625	3.661	3.731	3.761 3.761	3.861	3.961	4.061	4.161	4.225 4.243 4.261	4.361	4.461	4.561	4.661	4.761	4.849 4.861	4.903	4.961	
<u>3.561</u> 3.572	3.625	3.661				3.961	4.061	4.161	4.225 4.243 4.261			4.561	4.661	4.761	4.849 4.861	4.903	4.961	
2.919 2.977	3.161	3.209	3.119	3.140 3.154	3.213	3.304	3.285	3.269	3.254 3.249 3.266	3.279	3.238	2.976	2.325	3.166	3.171 3.175	3.211	3.276	
		.564	1.723	0.720	.759	.768		.003		.193	.334	969	2.447	.706	797 797	.803	.796	, L
60.000 62.188	72.788	80.000	94.003	9/.59/ 100.000 (	120.000	140.000	160.000	180.000	192.874 1 196.493 1 200.000 1	220.000	240.000	260.000	280.000	300.000	317.728 320.000	328.385	340.000	

ROAD 03 LONGITUDINAL SECTION SCALE: HORIZONTAL - 1:1000 VERTICAL - 1:200

	Client	Status	FOR AF		AL.	Project RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 277 IRON GATES ROAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited	DIS
ROBERT & HARRIES		Original	Issue Signatures			EVANS HEAD	Level 16, 580 George Street SYDNEY NSW 2000	
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289	
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		rei No: +61 2 8907 9000 www.arcadis.com/au	Project
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94		N Drawing No.	Jumber 30180356
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 5	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0	)255 (

![](_page_65_Figure_6.jpeg)

02

![](_page_65_Picture_7.jpeg)

						Scales							Surveyor
							40	00	40	00	00	400	
						U HORI	10	20	40	60	80	100m	
									1	1000			
									• •	1000			
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24	0 VERT		5		10	15	20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23				1	· 200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23				I	. 200			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
<b></b>	100mm on Ori	ginal											

# ON ROAD CENTRELINE CUT / FILL DEPTH

PEGGED CHAINAGE

EXISTING SURFACE

KERB (RHS)

Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m)

Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in ...)

Horizontal Curve Radius (m)

DATUM RL.-12.000

DESIGN LEVELS ON

ROAD CENTRELINE

DESIGN LIP OF

KERB (LHS)

DESIGN LIP OF

CH 0.000 LO CH12.904				INTERSECTION ROAD 06 CH 86.000				INTERSECTION ROAD 07 CH 171.000			/- EXISTIN SURFA /- DESIGN SURFA	NG CE N CE				CH 303.563
LZGS: R H229 -3% -33.3					-		 0.5% 200	+		1					15 VC R 600	.3
3.754 3.752 3.593 3.560 3.565	3.590 3.690 3.690	3.790	3.890	3.990	4.090	4.190	4.290	4.390	4.490	4.590	4.690	4.790	4.890	4.930	5.014 5.109	5.192 5.208
3.483	3.508	3.708		3.908	4.008	4.108			4.408	4.508	4.608	4.708	4.808	4.848		
3.483	3.508	3.708	3.808	3.908	4.008	4.108			4.408	4.508	4.608	4.708	4.808			
2.835 2.853 3.297 3.357 3.382	3.439 3.439 2.953	3.628	3.747	3.633	3.492	3.550	3.605	3.460	3.001	3.440	3.501	3.365	3.443	3.522	3.602 3.626	3.643 3.646
1919 1296 1202 183 183	737	162	.142	357		639			.488	150	.188	.424	.447	.408	.413 .483	.549 .562
0.000 0 0.047 0 7.547 0 12.904 0 15.047 0	20.000 40.000 0 0 0 0	0 000	00000	100.000	120.000	140.000	160.000	180.000	200.000	220.000	240.000	260.000	280.000	288.033 1	295.533 1 300.000 1.	303.033 1 303.563 1

**ROAD 05 LONGITUDINAL SECTION** 

	Client	Status	FOR AF		<b>NL</b>	Project RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 277 IRON GATES ROAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited	5
ROBERT A HARRIES		Origina	Il Issue Signatures			EVANS HEAD	Level 16, 580 George Street SYDNEY NSW 2000	
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289	
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		www.arcadis.com/au Project	30180356
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	SECTIONS	Drawing No.	Issue
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 6	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0256	03

	INTERSECTION ROAD 03 CH 0.000 LO CH12.994						HI CH 109.593				DESIGN SURFACE EXISTING SURFACE			LO CH206.651	INTERSECTION ROAD 05 CH 222.200
Vertical Curve Length (m)	919: C 15 VC						05 IP RL 4.126			-					
Vertical Curve Radius (m)	R 429					<	R 40	00	->					R	429
Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in) Horizontal Curve Radius (m)	-33.3		<u> </u>				->-	<			<u>-0,5%</u>			>	3%
DATUM RL12.000															
DESIGN LEVELS ON															
ROAD CENTRELINE	3.845 3.845 3.841 3.682 3.648 3.678 3.678	3.778	3.878	3.978	4.026	4.064	4.076	4.062	4.026	3.974	3.874	3.774	3.674	3.651 3.646 3.680	3.839 3.854 3.920
DESIGN LIP OF															
KERB (LHS)		3.696	3.796	3.896	3.944	3.983	3.994	3.981	3.944	3.892	3.792	3.692	3.592	3.570 3.564	
DESIGN LIP OF															
KERB (RHS)	3.603 3.567 3.567 3.596	3.696	3.796	3.896	3.944	3.983	3.994	3.981	3.944	3.892	3.792	3.692	3.592	3.570 3.564	
EXISTING SURFACE															
ON ROAD CENTRELINE	3.121 3.122 3.156 3.176 3.176 3.176	3.147	2.794	3.304	3.436	3.413	3.316	3.217	3.059	2.794	3.371	3.624	3.674	3.694 3.706 3.770	3.730 3.728 3.716
CUT / FILL DEPTH	0.502 0.719 0.526 0.472 0.474 0.502	0.630	1.084	0.674	0.590	0.651	0.760	0.845	0.967	1.180	0.503	0.150	000.0-	-0.043 -0.060	0.108 0.126 0.203
PEGGED CHAINAGE	0.000 0.137 7.637 12.994 15.137 20.000	40.000	000.09	80.000	89.593	100.000	109.593	120.000	129.593	140.000	160.000	180.000	200.000	204.508 206.651 212.008	219.508 220.000 222.200

						Scales							Surveyor
							10	20	40	60	80	100m	
									4 . 4				
									1:1	1000			
02					15 04 24	0		5	1	0	15	20m	
03		12			13.04.24	VERT.							
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23				1 • •	200			
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23					200			
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date								
		100mm on Original											<u> </u>

ROAD 06 LONGITUDINAL SECTION	
SCALE: HORIZONTAL - 1:1000 VERTICAL - 1:200	

Surveyor	Client	Status	FOR AF		AL	Project RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 277 IRON GATES ROAD EVANS HEAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited
ROBERT A HARRIES		Origina	Il Issue Signatures	Original			SYDNEY NSW 2000
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		www.arcadis.com/au
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	SECTIONS	Drawing No.
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 7	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0257 03

						Sealor	Suprovor
							Surveyor
						- 0 10 20 40 60 80 100m	
						1 : 1000	
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24	0 5 10 15 20m	
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23		
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23	3	
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date		
	100mm on Or	oinal					

PEGGED CHAINAGE

CUT / FILL DEPTH

ON ROAD CENTRELINE

KERB (RHS)

EXISTING SURFACE

Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m)

Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in ...)

Horizontal Curve Radius (m)

DATUM RL.-12.000

DESIGN LEVELS ON

ROAD CENTRELINE

DESIGN LIP OF

DESIGN LIP OF

KERB (LHS)

INTERSECTION ROAD 03	CH 0.000		LO CH19.976						, HI CH 71.302			; 	DE: SU EX SU	SIGN RFACE IISTING IRFACE	LO CH123.216		INTERSECTION ROAD 05	HICH 140 450				LO CH158.190				1 <u>HI CH 183.232</u>					LO CH219.027			IN IERAEUTIUN RUAD VZ
	-33	ъ 🖉 до пр. R. 1. 3. 397	 VC 29			0.5%		-		0000 H Hall 40 R 320	C		-0	7 <u>5%</u> 33.3		2061 IP RL 3.907	/C_ 00 33			-3%	R 23 810 ID RT 3.918 83	29 29	-	0.5%	-		V 2 15 19 RL 4.106	VC 057	-1,	<u>865%</u> 53.6			33%	
4.435	4.222	4.062	4.029	4.029	4 124		4.200	4.220	4.240	4.238	4.228	4.150	4.115		3.965	3.952	3.977	4.132 4.776	4.289	4.143	3.983	3.950	3.955		4.043	4.052	4.032		3.924 3.873	3 680	3.626	3.628	3.031	0.1 00
					4.042		4.119	4.139	4.159	4.156	4.14/	4.069	4.033		3.883 3.883	3.871						3.868	3.874		3.962	3.970	3.949		3.791 3.791	3.598				
		3.983	3.947	3.947 3.953	4.042		4.119	4.139	4.159	4.156	4.14/	4.069	4.033		3.883 3.883	3.871						3.868	3.874		3.962	3.970	3.949		3.791	3.598				
3.327	3.308	3.291	3.293	3.293 3.289	3 219		2.632	2.565	2.684	2.709	2.124	2.815	2.858		3.312	3.378	3.437	3.534	3.537	3.571	3.628	3.646	3.644		3.599	3.592 3.568	3.515		3.440 3.411	3.348	3.257	3.242	3.230	<u></u>
1.108	0.913	0.772	0.736	0.736 0.745	0.905		1.568	1.655	1.556	1.529	c)uc.1	1.335	1.257		0.653	0.574	0.540	0.000	0.752	0.572	0.355	0.304	0.311		0.444	0.459	0.517	0 107	0.461	0.332	0.369	0.386	0.402	~~~~
0.000	7.119	14.619	19.976	<u>20.000</u> 22.119	40 000		55.302	60.000	71.302	75.302	80.000	95.302	100.000		120.000 120.016	123.216	127.716 125.716	01 2.001	140.450	145.333	152.833	158.190	160.333		177.948	180.000 183.232	190.448		202.948	213.276	219.027	220.000	220.110 228.276	740.41 0

ROAD 07 LONGITUDINAL SECTION

ROBERT A HARRIES	Client	Status	FOR AF		۱L	Project RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 277 IRON GATES ROAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited		
		Origina	al Issue Signatures			L VANS TILAD	SYDNEY NSW 2000		
	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289		
OURVETOR		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		Tel No: +61 2 8907 9000 www.arcadis.com/au Project	20120256	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	SECTIONS	Drawing No.	SU IOUSSU Issue	
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 8	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0258	03	

	/ DESIGN / SURFACE	
	번 / EXISTING SURFACE	
	SI IS	
	337 OVD	
	ION HIND IN THE PARTY OF THE PA	
	- 13.289     - 13.289	
Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Vertical Curve Length (m) Vertical Curve Radius (m)
Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Vertical Grade (%) Vertical Grade (1 in)
Horizontal Curve Radius (m)	R12.5 R.80 - R12.5	Horizontal Curve Radius (m)
DATUM RL10.000		DATUM RL11.000
DESIGN LEVELS ON		DESIGN LEVELS ON
ROAD CENTRELINE	5.090           5.090           6.201           10.041           11.128           11.13	ROAD CENTRELINE
		DESIGN LIP OF
KERB (LHS)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	KERB (LHS)
	24 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
ON ROAD CENTRELINE	956         967         956           956         967         967           956         967         967           956         944         944           956         994         935           956         994         944           956         994         935           907         994         994	ON ROAD CENTRELINE
	1         1	
CUT / FILL DEPTH	134       134       134       134       134       134       135       135       136       137       137       138 </td <td>CUT / FILL DEPTH</td>	CUT / FILL DEPTH
PEGGED CHAINAGE	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PEGGED CHAINAGE
	ROAD 09 LONGITUDINAL SECTION	

				Scales 0 10 20 HORI.	40 60	80 100m		Client	Status	FOR A © Copy	PPROVA	L	Project RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOT 277 IRON GATES ROAD EVANS HEAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited Level 16, 580 George Street	DIS
					1:1000			GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	Drawn J. SANTOS Original Size		A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289	
03 AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS LP	LP 1	5.04.24	0 5 VERT.	10	15 20m	IUN		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height	AHD		Tel No: +61 2 8907 9000 www.arcadis.com/au	Project
02 AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN 01 ORIGINAL ISSUE	HP LP JS LP	LP 1 LP 1	2.06.23		1 : 200				Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	- ROAD LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS	Drawing No.	Number 30180356
Issue Description	DR CH	VE	Date						Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 9	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-C	√-0259         0

SCALE: HORIZONTAL - 1:1000 VERTICAL - 1:200

![](_page_69_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Figure_0.jpeg)

						Scales	Survey
03	AMENDED FPL DESIGN	JS	LP	LP	15.04.24		
02	AMENDED LAYOUT DESIGN	HP	LP	LP	10.11.23	0 5 10 20 30 40 50m	
01	ORIGINAL ISSUE	JS	LP	LP	12.06.23	1 · 500	
Issue	Description	DR	СН	VE	Date	1.000	
	100mm on Ori	ginal				·	

## NTS

r	Client	Status	FOR AF	PPROVA	AL.	Project RESIDENTI
			© Copyr	ight reserved		
ROBERT A HARRIES		Origina	al Issue Signatures			
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	J. SANTOS	Original Size	A1	Title
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	PLAN
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			S
		Date Plotted: 16	Apr 2024 - 08:17PM F	ile Name: C:\Us	ers\lpaz2589\DC\ACCI	Docs\Arcadis ACC US\AAU-30180.

# 

LEGEND	
_···_ 5.0 _···_	PROPOSED CONTOU
5.0	EXISTING CONTOUR PROPOSED MOUNTA LAYBACK KERB PROPOSED BARRIEF
	PROPOSED SEMI-MO
	PROPOSED EDGE R
	EARTHWORKS EXTE
<ul> <li>SWD</li></ul>	PROPOSED STORM RETICULATION AND PROPOSED SEWERA MANHOLE AND PROPOSED WATER PROPOSED STORM OUTLET SCOUR PRO PROPOSED RETAINI PROPOSED GABION
	PROPOSED PAVEME
	PROPOSED BIO
	MIDDEN LOCATION
	POTENTIAL FOOTPA
	PROPOSED BOARDV

PROPOSED CONTOUR

EXISTING CONTOUR PROPOSED MOUNTABLE KERB /

LAYBACK KERB PROPOSED BARRIER KERB & CHANNEL

PROPOSED SEMI-MOUNTABLE KERB

PROPOSED EDGE RESTRAINT

EARTHWORKS EXTENTS

PROPOSED STORMWATER RETICULATION AND STRUCTURE PROPOSED SEWERAGE LINE, MANHOLE AND PROPOSED WATER RETICULATION PROPOSED STORMWATER OUTLET SCOUR PROTECTION PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

PROPOSED GABION WALL

PROPOSED PAVEMENT

POTENTIAL FOOTPATH LOCATION

PROPOSED BOARDWALK

# NOTES

- 1. FILTER MEDIA LAYER SHALL CONFORM WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OF FAWB GUIDELINES FOR BIOFILTRATION MEDIA (VERSION 3.01) & WATER BY DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS "BIO RETENTION TECHNICAL DESIGN GUIDELINES VERSION 1". THE MINIMUM ORGANIC CONTENT OF THE FILTER MEDIA SHALL BE 3%.
- 2. TRANSITION & DRAINAGE MEDIA LAYER SHALL CONFORM WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OF FAWB GUIDELINES FOR BIOFILTRATION MEDIA (VERSION 3.01) & WATER BY DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS "BIO RETENTION TECHNICAL DESIGN GUIDELINES VERSION 1".
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR UNDERTAKING DETAILED SURVEY OF EACH LAYER OF THE MEDIA **INSTALLED INCLUDING THE SUBGRADE & FINISHED LEVEL** SURVEYS. THE DIGITAL SURVEY DATA FOR EACH LAYER IS TO BE ISSUED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO THE SUBSEQUENT LAYER BEING INSTALLED. THE REQUIRED TOLERANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE MEDIA LAYERS IS INCLUDED IN THE WATER BY DESIGN CONSTRUCTION & ESTABLISHMENT SIGN OFF FORMS -**BIORETENTION SYSTEMS (VERSION 1.1). ALL COSTS** ASSOCIATED WITH THE DETAILED SURVEY OF THE **BIORETENTION SYSTEMS, THE STAGED CONSTRUCTION** APPROACH DUE TO THE SURVEY REVIEW PROCESS, & ANY REWORKS REQUIRED AS A RESULT OF THE SURVEY REVIEWS ARE DEEMED INCLUDED IN THE LUMP SUM CONTRACT PRICE FOR THE PROJECT.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CO-SIGNING THE WATER BY DESIGN CONSTRUCTION & ESTABLISHMENT SIGN OFF FORMS – BIORETENTION SYSTEMS (VERSION 1.1) AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION OF THE BIORETENTION SYSTEMS AS WELL AS MANAGING & COMPLYING WITH THE RELEVANT HOLD & WITNESS POINTS SPECIFIED IN THESE FORMS.
- 5. THE MINIMUM HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF ANY LAYER OF BIO BASIN MEDIA SHALL BE 100mm/h.
- 6. MAXIMUM FILTER MEDIA NITROGEN 400mg/kg.
- 7. MAXIMUM FILTER MEDIA ORTHOPHOSPHATE 40mg/kg. 8. MINIMUM 300mm EXTENDED DETENTION DEPTH.
- 9. BIOSWALE A UNDERDRAIN TO CONNECT TO BIOSWALE B UNDERDRAIN. WIER FLOWS TO DISCHARGE NORTH ALONG LENGTH OF BIOSWALE. SEMI IMPERMEABLE LINER TO ALLOW INFILTRATION TO MARTENS AND ASSOCIATES SPECIFICATIONS.

### **BIO-FILTRATION NOTES**

MINIMUM FILTER DEPTH IS TO BE 0.70m AND IS TO CONSIST OF THE FOLLOWING MEDIA:

- 400MM OF LOAMY SANDY MATERIAL WITH A HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF 100mm/h AND A PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION AS FOLLOWS:
- 100mm TRANSITION LAYER COARSE SAND, CONSTANT DEPTH, NOM. SIZE 0.5-2.0
- 200mm (MIN.) DRAINAGE LAYER FINE AGGREGATE, CONSTANT DEPTH, NOM. SIZE
- CONTRACTOR TO ERECT SIGNAGE IDENTIFYING THE PURPOSE OF THE BIO-RETENTION BASIN AS PER COUNCIL REQUIREMENTS.

CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL GEOFABRIC LAY TOPSOIL (25mm THICK) AND TURF AFTER BASIN INTERNAL DRAINAGE AND FILTER MEDIA IS INSTALLED TO PROTECT FILTER MEDIA. TURF AND GEOFABRIC TO BE REMOVED AFTER 80% OF BUILDINGS ARE CONSTRUCTED. ALTERNATIVELY THE BIO-RETENTION BASIN SHALL BE RETAINED AS A SEDIMENT BASIN UNTIL 80% OF BUILDINGS ARE CONSTRUCTED.

ATER OUTLET PLAN TS				
SIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OT 277 IRON GATES ROAD EVANS HEAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited Level 16, 580 George Street SYDNEY NSW 2000 ABN 76 104 485 289	DI	S	
	Tel No: +61 2 8907 9000			
DETENTION BASIN		Project Number	301803	56
PLAN AND DETAILS	Drawing No.		•	Issue
SHEET 1	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV	-0491		03
PLAN AND DETAILS SHEET 1 C US\AAU-30180356-Iron Gates Residential\Project Files\10_WI	Drawing No. IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV P\10_CV\Stage_01\Drawings\IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-C	entionBasinF	lssue 03 Plan.dwç	

![](_page_71_Figure_0.jpeg)

100mm on Original

Date Plotted: 16 Apr 2024 - 08:36PM File Name: C:\Users\lpaz2589\DC\ACCDocs\Arcadis ACC US\AAU-30180356-Iron Gates Residential\Project Files\10\_WIP\10\_CV\Stage\_01\Drawings\IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0491-DetentionBasinPlan.dwg


	Client	Status FOR APPROVAL						
			© Copyright reserved					
ROBERT & HARRIES		Origina	Original Issue Signatures					
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD Drawn J. SANTOS Original Size	A1	Title					
		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD			
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94			
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN					
		Date Plotted: 16	Apr 2024 - 08:17PM F	ile Name: C:\Use	ers\lpaz2589\DC\ACC	Docs\Arcadis ACC		

# LEGEND ----- PROPOSED CONTOUR — — 5.0 — — EXISTING CONTOUR





100mm on Original

r	Client	Status	FOR APPROVAL		NL.	RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT	ARCADIS		
			© Copyright reserved Original Issue Signatures			EVANS HEAD	Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited Level 16, 580 George Street		
SURVEYOR	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	LDCORAL PTY LTD Drawn J. SANTOS Original A1	A1	Title	ABN 76 104 485 289		
GORVETOR		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD	DETENTION BASIN	Tel No: +61 2 8907 9000 www.arcadis.com/au Project	201902	256
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	PLAN AND DETAILS	Drawing No.	r   301003	Issue
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 4	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-049	4	03

LEGEND	
-··- 5.0 -··- ··-	PRO
5.0	exis Pro Laye Pro
	PRO
	PRO
	EAR
- SWD ↔ S	PRO RETI PRO MAN PRO PRO OUT PRO
	PRO
	PRO
	MIDE











	Client	Status	Status     FOR APPROVAL     Project       RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT     I OT 277 IRON GATES ROAD		ARCADIS				
		© Copyright reserved		EVANS HEAD       Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited         Level 16, 580 George Street					
ROBERT A HARRIES	GOLDCORAL PTY LTD	Drawn	Drawn J. SANTOS Original A1		Title	SYDNEY NSW 2000 ABN 76 104 485 289			
SURVEYOR		Designed	MA. STA.CRUZ	Height Datum	AHD		Tel No: +61 2 8907 9000 www.arcadis.com/au Project	Project	
		Project Manager	L. PRIZEMAN	Grid	GDA94	PLAN AND DETAILS	Drawing No.	Number 30180	Issue
		Verified	L. PRIZEMAN			SHEET 5	IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-0	0495	03
		Date Plotted: 16	Apr 2024 - 08:17PM F	ile Name: C:\Use	rs\lpaz2589\DC\ACC	Docs\Arcadis ACC US\AAU-30180356-Iron Gates Residential\Project Files\10_W	। IP\10_CV\Stage_01\Drawings\IRG-AAP-DA-00-DRG-CV-	-0491-DetentionBasir	nPlan.dwg

Surveyor

# 

LEGEND
_···_ 5.0 _···_
<u> </u>
SWD -
——• s ——
W

# PROPOSED CONTOUR

EXISTING CONTOUR

PROPOSED MOUNTABLE KERB /

LAYBACK KERB PROPOSED BARRIER KERB & CHANNEL

PROPOSED SEMI-MOUNTABLE KERB

PROPOSED EDGE RESTRAINT

EARTHWORKS EXTENTS

PROPOSED STORMWATER RETICULATION AND STRUCTURE PROPOSED SEWERAGE LINE, MANHOLE AND PROPOSED WATER RETICULATION PROPOSED STORMWATER OUTLET SCOUR PROTECTION PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

PROPOSED GABION WALL

PROPOSED PAVEMENT

PROPOSED BIO

MIDDEN LOCATION

POTENTIAL FOOTPATH LOCATION

PROPOSED BOARDWALK

# NOTES

1. FILTER MEDIA LAYER SHALL CONFORM WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OF FAWB GUIDELINES FOR BIOFILTRATION MEDIA (VERSION 3.01) & WATER BY DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS "BIO RETENTION TECHNICAL DESIGN GUIDELINES VERSION 1". THE MINIMUM ORGANIC CONTENT OF THE FILTER MEDIA SHALL BE 3%.

STAGE BOUNDARY

- 2. TRANSITION & DRAINAGE MEDIA LAYER SHALL CONFORM WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS OF FAWB GUIDELINES FOR **BIOFILTRATION MEDIA (VERSION 3.01) & WATER BY DESIGN** SPECIFICATIONS "BIO RETENTION TECHNICAL DESIGN GUIDELINES VERSION 1".
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR UNDERTAKING DETAILED SURVEY OF EACH LAYER OF THE MEDIA INSTALLED INCLUDING THE SUBGRADE & FINISHED LEVEL SURVEYS. THE DIGITAL SURVEY DATA FOR EACH LAYER IS TO BE ISSUED TO THE SUPERINTENDENT FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO THE SUBSEQUENT LAYER BEING INSTALLED. THE REQUIRED TOLERANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE MEDIA LAYERS IS INCLUDED IN THE WATER BY DESIGN CONSTRUCTION & ESTABLISHMENT SIGN OFF FORMS -**BIORETENTION SYSTEMS (VERSION 1.1). ALL COSTS** ASSOCIATED WITH THE DETAILED SURVEY OF THE **BIORETENTION SYSTEMS, THE STAGED CONSTRUCTION** APPROACH DUE TO THE SURVEY REVIEW PROCESS, & ANY REWORKS REQUIRED AS A RESULT OF THE SURVEY REVIEWS ARE DEEMED INCLUDED IN THE LUMP SUM CONTRACT PRICE FOR THE PROJECT.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR **CO-SIGNING THE WATER BY DESIGN CONSTRUCTION &** ESTABLISHMENT SIGN OFF FORMS – BIORETENTION SYSTEMS (VERSION 1.1) AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION OF THE BIORETENTION SYSTEMS AS WELL AS MANAGING & COMPLYING WITH THE RELEVANT HOLD & WITNESS POINTS SPECIFIED IN THESE FORMS.
- 5. THE MINIMUM HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF ANY LAYER OF BIO BASIN MEDIA SHALL BE 100mm/h.
- MAXIMUM FILTER MEDIA NITROGEN 400mg/kg.
- MAXIMUM FILTER MEDIA ORTHOPHOSPHATE 40mg/kg. MINIMUM 300mm EXTENDED DETENTION DEPTH.
- BIOSWALE D WEIR FLOWS TO DISCHARGE EAST ALONG LENGTH OF BIOSWALE. SEMI IMPERMEABLE LINER TO ALLOW INFILTRATION TO MARTENS AND ASSOCIATES SPECIFICATIONS.

# **BIO-FILTRATION NOTES**

MINIMUM FILTER DEPTH IS TO BE 0.70m AND IS TO CONSIST OF THE FOLLOWING MEDIA: • 400MM OF LOAMY SANDY MATERIAL WITH A HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY OF

- 100mm/h AND A PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION AS FOLLOWS:
- 100mm TRANSITION LAYER COARSE SAND, CONSTANT DEPTH, NOM. SIZE 0.5-2.0 • 200mm (MIN.) DRAINAGE LAYER - FINE AGGREGATE, CONSTANT DEPTH, NOM. SIZE
- CONTRACTOR TO ERECT SIGNAGE IDENTIFYING THE PURPOSE OF THE BIO-RETENTION BASIN AS PER COUNCIL REQUIREMENTS.

CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL GEOFABRIC LAY TOPSOIL (25mm THICK) AND TURF AFTER BASIN INTERNAL DRAINAGE AND FILTER MEDIA IS INSTALLED TO PROTECT FILTER MEDIA. TURF AND GEOFABRIC TO BE REMOVED AFTER 80% OF BUILDINGS ARE CONSTRUCTED. ALTERNATIVELY THE BIO-RETENTION BASIN SHALL BE RETAINED AS A



EXISTING SURFACE

# ATTACHMENT D – UPDATED GROUNDWATER IMPACT PLOT



1:4000 @ A3 Viewport A Notes: - Aerial from Nearmap (2023). Map Title / Figure: Development Conditions Drawdown from Existing Levels

 240 Iron Gates Drive, Evans Head, NSW
 Site

 Proposed Subdivision
 Project

 Updated Groundwater Model
 Sub-Project

 Goldcoral Pty Ltd
 Client

 16/04/2024
 Date

