

Statement of Reasons

DA Number	2020/0020
Property Address	92 Brickella Road Woodburn
Matter Determined	Concrete Batching Plant
Date of Decision	20 February 2020
Decision	Approval subject to conditions.
Application Determined by	Delegation

Reasons for the Decision

Relevant Mandatory Considerations – Statutory Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development is permissible under the State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007. • The proposed development complies with relevant State Environmental Planning Policies. • The development complies with the provisions of Richmond Valley Council Local Environmental Plan 2012. • The proposed development complies with relevant provisions of Development Control Plan 2015. • The proposed development complies with Environmental Planning & Assessment Regulation 2000 considerations. • The proposed development will not have significant adverse impact on the natural, built or social environment or economic impacts on the locality. • The proposed development is considered suitable for the proposed site. • The development application was notified in accordance with Richmond Valley Development Control Plan 2015. One submission was received. • The proposed development is unlikely to prejudice or compromise the public interest.
Material Considered in the Decision	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statement of Environmental Effects and additional information submitted. • Plans including amended plans. • Submission received
Community Views Raised in Submissions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DA was notified in accordance with the Richmond Valley DCP 2015. One submission was received. • The matters raised in the submission were assessed and it was determined that the environmental controls proposed for the development were adequate having regards to the health and environment concerns raised. Therefore the matters raised could be addressed by conditions of consent requiring compliance with these controls. Submissions regarding the need for the proposal and suggested alternate uses were also assessed and it was concluded that the use is appropriate to the site and these matters did not warrant refusal or modification of the application.